

# special collections DOUGLAS LIBRARY



QUEEN'S UNIVERSITY AT KINGSTON

kingston ontario canada





#### A

## DEFENCE

Q F

Dr. PRICE,

AND THE

REFORMERS of ENGLAND.



### D E F E N C E

O F

#### Dr. PRICE,

AND THE

REFORMERS of ENGLAND,

By the Rev. CHRISTOPHER WYVILL,

Chairman of the late Committee of Affociation of the County of York.

#### PRINTED BY W. BLANCHARD,

FOR J. JOHNSON, ST. PAUL'S CHURCH-YARD; J. STOCKDALF,
PICCADILLY, LONDON; AND J. TODD, YORK.

M DCC XCII.

AC911. 1792. Use

#### PREFACE. The

IT is unufual for an Author to explain his Title-page; yet thus to deviate from the common practice may fometimes be proper or necessary; and it can hardly be more fo for any other reason, than a defire to remove the doubts and difficulties, which may have occurred to many; because they have occurred to Friends, of whose good-will the Author is certain, and for whose judgment he feels much respect.

It has been fuggested, that the Title of this Piece announces a Defence of the Reformers of England, in terms of fuch wide generality, that even a vindication of Mr. Payne's projects may be expected to be included in the defign. If any person has serioully formed this expectation, he will be completely disappointed; but he cannot justly complain that the Title-page has milled him: It is evidently improper to suppose that the comprehension of Mr. Payne among the Reformers of the Constitution of England was there intended; his avowed purpose is, nor

not to reform or amend the System of our Government, but to overturn and destroy it. And, perhaps, it is not arrogating too much to the Associators, by whom a Reformation of Parliament was sirst proposed, about twelve years ago, and whose principles, with great improvements, were digested into a practical plan, and offered to Parliament by Mr. Pitt, in the year 1785, to distinguish them as Men, eminently deserving the appellation of "The Resormers of England."

It has been fuggested also, that the Author ought not to have been described as Chairman of the late Committee of Association of the County of York; because the intimation, that the Committee is confidered as not now existing, may prevent the reaffembly of that Body hereafter, when circumstances may have rendered that meafure very defirable; and it may be construed as equivalent to a declaration that the Affociation itself is also dissolved, and that the laudable measures for restoring Parliament to its ancient purity, which the Yorkshire Freeholders associated to effect, have been abandoned in despair. This is a grave and a weighty objection; it has been been fairly stated; and it shall be expli-

citly answered.

The Author declares then, that he does not confider himfelf freed from the engagement he voluntarily entered into, when he figned the Affociation of the County of York; that engagement he deems an irrevocable act, which will bind him to the end of his life, unless the objects shall be sooner obtained for which that meafure was concerted. In these sentiments, he believes that the affociated Freeholders of Yorkshire are generally agreed; though he is aware, that a few exceptions there possibly may be.-But the permanent nature of the Affociation would not justify the assumption of any permanent power by the Committee. The Committee was a deputed, not as its enemies in vain endeavoured to represent it, a felf-created Body. It was originally appointed by a General Meeting of the County of York, in the year 1779; and it was re-appointed by feveral fubfequent County Meetings for certain purpofes, and with certain powers, specified at the time of appointment: In conformity with the trust thus vested in them, and in strict adherence to the principles of Representation. the Gentlemen of the Yorkshire Committee exerted vigorous efforts for a period of more than five years, to effect a moderate but substantial Reformation of Parliament; and twice, in the course of that time, they reported their Proceedings to their Constituents, in full Meetings of the County of York, and received their approbation of their conduct.

After that period, when the measures which they had laboured with fo much zeal to recommend to their Countrymen, for want of general support by the People, were defeated in Parliament by the joint influence of the Aristocracy, it would have been difadvantageous to the caufe of Liberty to have wearied the friends of political Reformation with hopeless exertions. It was evident that the nation, at that time, was not ripe for Reformation; and the repetition of unsupported demands by the County of York would but have tended, by giving their patriotic efforts an appearance of ridiculous obstinacy, to discredit at once the County, and the Caufe which it had fo well fupported.

For these reasons it has been understood that no Meeting of the Committee has been held fince the rejection of Mr. Pitt's motion

in Parliament, in the year 1785, to amend the Representation of Parliament; and it was prudent thus to discontinue their exertions, till, from the more general concurrence of their fellow-citizens, their activity was likely to fucceed. But after having ceased for seven years to exercise their powers as a Deputed Body, the Committee furely could not, with propriety, refume their operations, without fresh authority from the County of York. It would be strange indeed, if the just principles of Representation were violated by the very Perfons whom it behoves to adhere to them with the most scrupulous exactness; whose constant complaint it has been that those principles have not been duly regarded, either in the formation or in the conduct of the Legislature. It may be confidently afferted, that the Gentlemen who composed the Committee of Yorkshire will never act in a manner unbecoming their Constitutional principles, that they will wait in filent expectation till the altered fentiments of their fellow-citizens shall have rendered it expedient, in the opinion of the County of York, to renew the struggle to destroy the System of Parliamentary liamentary Corruption. Then, and not before, the activity of the former Committee, (if the County shall think fit to repose that trust in them again,) may be recommenced with propriety, and with a much better prospect of success than from a different conduct could be expected.

In these sentiments the Author hopes that he has the general concurrence of the Gentlemen of the Committee with whom he had the honour to act; and whose long-experienced candour, and confidence in the uprightness of his intentions, he never can think of without a mixed fensation of pride and gratitude. With that difinterested band of Patriots he will be most ready to co-operate again whenever the proper feafon for renewing their enterprise shall have arrived; and their Constituents shall have re-appointed them a Committee for the attainment of the fame laudable and necessary Reformation which they before proposed, by the fame peaceful and legal means which they before employed; and he trusts that their ardour to refume this honourable purfuit is also undiminished—that they behold with pleafure the increasing zeal of their countrymen in the cause of Reformationand

and the nearer approach of that favourable moment, when, with the approbation and renewed authority of the County of York, and with a more decifive concurrence of the real friends of the Constitution in other parts of the kingdom, their efforts may be successfully exerted to obtain a just redress of their Constitutional Grievances.

The reader shall now be detained only till he is apprised, that the invitation of Dr. Price by the Congress of America, in the year 1780, to go over to assist in the regulation of their finances; and his concurrence in sentiment with the General Body of Dissenters, when in the year 1784 they strenuously opposed Mr. Fox's East-India Bill, are facts asserted in the Defence on the authority of Mr. William Morgan, Dr. Price's nephew, by whom the information was obligingly communicated, through friends connected with that Gentleman and the Author.

York, April 9, 1792. In the PRESS, and in a few months will be published,

By the Rev. CHRISTOPHER WYVILL,

# A Collection of Political Papers,

IN THREE VOLUMES, OCTAVO,

Chiefly respecting the late Attempt by the

County of York, and other Associated

Bodies, to effect a Reformation of the

Parliament of Great-Britain.

Α

#### D E F E N C E

O F

Dr. PRICE, Gc.

TAVING lately employed myself in forming a collection of Political Papers, chiefly refpecting the attempt by feveral of our Counties, principal Cities and Towns, to correct the Corruption and restore the Freedom and Independence of Parliament, from near the end of the year 1779, to the middle of the year 1785, I have been unavoidably led by the nature of my talk to peruse the greatest part of the papers which were published by Popular Meetings, in different parts of the kingdom, during that pcriod. After this review of their Proceedings, I think it may be afferted with truth, that the general conduct of these Assemblies of the Collective Body and the Committees appointed by A 2 them

them, was unexceptionably prudent and manly; that their language was firm but decent, becoming FREEMEN alarmed by the misfortunes of an ill-fated and expensive War, and justly offended by the fervility and corruption of their Representatives; that their measures were fincerely defigned to promote the Public good, fupported with vigour and perfevering zeal, yet with a constant adherence to order and legality, and finally fuccessful to terminate those fatal hostilities, and introduce a more faithful and oeconomical expenditure of the Public Money. But the Affociations had been convinced at an early period that fomewhat more than Peace, and a retrenchment of the public expenditure was necessary for the safety of the Country. They had feen, that the Corruption of Parliament and the continuation of the Civil War with America were justly to be imputed to those gross abuses in the frame and duration of Parliament, which had chiefly originated in the present century; and that unless an effectual redress of those grievances could be obtained, the evils of a corrupt Administration might foon be experienced again, to the utter ruin of the nation. Against these abuses therefore the efforts of the Popular Meetings were principally directed, but without fuccess, although in effect they aimed at not much more than the Reftoration of the Confitution as it had flood foon after the æra of the Revolution. For fince that period, from the great extension of our commerce and distant territorial

torial possessions, and the consequent augmentation of our naval and military establishments, from the continual accumulation of taxes, and the proportional increase of those persons by whom they are collected, the Crown has gradually been enabled to influence or command Elections in many fea-ports and other places, while within the fame period, in still more Boroughs the Ariftocracy by various unwarrantable arts, especially by creating fraudulent and fictitious votes, has destroyed the Right of Election, and acquired the absolute power of nomination. To obtain the Repeal of the Septennial Act, and a just Redress of these usurpations in the Boroughs, were the ultimate objects of the Popular purfuit; but the last of these necessary measures the Affociations did not endeavour to effect on the extensive principle of universal fuffrage; but in a more temperate mode, in which a fubflantial improvement of the Conflitution might have been fafely and peacefully accomplished. And in the whole progress of this enterprise of the People to correct great and dangerous abuses, I believe not one instance can be produced of difloyalty to the Prince on the Throne, or difaffection to the Constitution, which is discoverable in any expression by any one public Meeting; hardly, perhaps, by any individual Member of the Affociated Bodies.

In these measures the Protestant Difference concurred, with equal temper and moderation, and with signal unanimity throughout the kingdom.

But if any man should entertain a suspicion, that under this cloak of moderation they covered finister designs at that time, it is but impartial justice to state, that the suspicion must be illfounded, that it is contradicted by facts which prove their attachment to the true Principles of the Constitution, at that season of great and general discontent; when any latent disloyalty to the Prince, any fecret wish to change the general frame of our Government, would have been disclosed by the heat and effervescence of the times. The reverse of these dispositions then was theirs; in every meeting, of which the Differenters composed a majority, or any confiderable part, it may be remarked with truth, that the language of their Petitions, and of their Plans of Affociation, strongly expressed their adherence to the Principles of our mixed Government, by King, Lords, and Commons. thall particularly point out the Petition of the County of Cambridge in 1780, that of the County of Kent in 1782, and the Forms of Affociation adopted about that time by the towns of Cambridge and Nottingham, as containing evident proofs of this affertion.\*

These circumstances appear sufficiently to exculpate the Diffenters from the charge of displication at that juncture; and since the preservation of our Constitutional Liberties, and of the Brunswick Family on the Throne, may be justly

For these Papers, see the Appendix,

afcribed in a great measure to their zeal and attachment, often manifested in times of public distress and danger; any charge of disaffection on that respectable body of men, now when public affairs are conducted with prosperity and with attention to the interests and opinions of the community, must appear highly improbable; and if not established by very clear and decisive proofs, ought to be rejected as a groundless and incredible accusation.

And yet this injurious imputation, which on the late motion for a repeal of the Test Laws was urged against the Diffenters with uncommon vehemence, feems to have been lightly admitted as a charge indifputably proved, though unsupported by any more folid ground of conviction, than vague furmife and personal invective. By the Test Laws, the Dissenters are ignominiously marked as men unfit to be trusted with any honourable or advantageous office, or a share even in the Government of a Corporate Town: they are forbidden to indulge the wish, which every good Citizen must naturally feel. to ferve his Country in any civil or military post for which his attainments sit him; their capacities for public employment are doomed to lie uncultivated and useless; the avenues of honest ambition are barred against them, and the conditions on which alone they can be opened are more degrading than the exclusion itself. Under this long proscription, the pati-

ence

ence of the Diffenters was not wearied out; their affection to the Government, which, in this respect had treated them harshly, was not alienated. For fifty years they acquiesced in filence under the difgrace and difabilities of the Test Laws. At last, observing the more liberal fpirit of this age, and the tolerant disposition which had been displayed by Parliament in their recent concessions to the Roman Catholics, and to their own Ministers, they were encouraged to renew their humble requests, that the Test Laws might be repealed. But when their complaints were heard once more, they were not couched in fullen or reproachful language; they were expressed with a respectful deference to Parliament, yet with that decent firmness which freedom and confcious integrity will always infpire. Nothing prior or fubfequent to their defeat has indicated a spirit of mutinous disaffection, or disobedience to the laws. peated denials of that equality of Civil Rights, to which every unoffending Citizen has a just claim, they have ceased to importune Parliament; they have retired from the Bar of the Legislature with the dignity of injured but patient men, who have learned to bear legal ignominy without dejection or unfeemly irritation; who have refolved to await the flow Revolution of Public Opinion, and relying on the rectitude of their cause, and the increasing influence of reason alone, still trust that their countrymen will

will at last grant them that justice which they have hitherto refused.

Against such men requesting to share the Common Rights of Citizens, the ordinary pleas of Intolerance would have been addressed with little effect to the candour and liberality of Parliament; their eager oppofers would have attempted in vain to give to their unjust policy the colour of political expediency; in vain they would have endeavoured to alarm the Friends of our limited Monarchy, by renewing the hackneyed objections, that Diffenters, deeming Episcopacy unwarrantable by Scripture, must be enemies to the Institution of Bishops, must wish the Abolition of an Order of Men who form an important part of the Legislature; whose right of voting with the Peers could not be taken away without exposing the Crown to Democratic Invasion.

The fuggestion is unsupported by any collateral circumstances, or any apparent likelihood of danger; it probably would have made little impression on Parliament, and it surely could have been applied with little prospect of success to those Senators, whose opinions justly bear the the greatest weight in that assembly.—For it must have been instantly felt, that to wish some important changes in the form of Government is not inconsistent with the true idea of allegiance; that rational and good Men might deem the Cinque Port Barons an objectionable part

B 2

of the House of Commons, or the translation of Bishops a defect in the House of Peers; that it even might be their opinion, that the entire exclusion of that Order from the House of Peers would be neither injurious to Religion, nor difadvantageous to the political interest of the Community; and yet fuch Men might be truly good Citizens, might cordially approve our Government by King, Lords, and Commons, might upon the whole be well fatisfied with that share of happiness which may be enjoyed under our Conflitution; might have no defign, no wish to disturb the tranquillity of the public; on the contrary, conceiving our Government to be invested with a lawful and beneficial authority. they might chearfully fubmit to it in a private station, and be willing, in a public capacity, to fupport it with fidelity and active zeal.

These are the dispositions which, in a larger view than that of strict law, constitute a good Citizen; and, where these dispositions are evident, it is a matter of no concern to the State, to scrutinize thought, or to pass a judicial sentence on private opinion, and harmless if not useful speculation. If Men of these dispositions should not be thought to bear that dutiful attachment to the State, which our laws require, if allegiance should be construed to imply an acceptance of the Constitution and all its establishments, as perfect models of political wisdom, and absolutely incapable of any emendation; allegiance

allegiance would be incompatible with common fense and observation. Such an idea of allegiance is manifestly indefensible—contending parties must have agreed to renounce it.

In all probability, therefore, the application for a repeal of the Test Laws would have been admitted by the united wisdom and justice of the House, if more powerful means had not been found to rouze the jealous spirit of State Policy; and to suppress, in minds of the greatest benignity and wisdom of the purest piety and virtue, their better principles of TOLERATION.

Some Differting Ministers of much ability and reputation had hazarded, with an honest zeal, to publish their opinions on the inutility and impropriety of any religious establishment; had expressed a detestation of the corruptions of Parliament, and an admiration of certain Republican forms of Government. These hardy sentiments were detailed to the House in quotations from the Authors alluded to; and their Adverfary, whose declamatory talents, for some years, had been little attended to, had once more the fatisfaction to find that the House listened to him, and that his perfonal invectives had made that impression which he wished, and which his reasoning must have failed to produce. A majority of the Members were shocked by these freedoms of speculative Men, they were appalled by the magnified dangers of INNOVATION; one common fentiment of fear pervaded their breafts. breafts, fiffled their accustomed feelings of equity and benevolence, and determined them still to prolong the restraint of those partial laws, by which our Dissenting Brethren are held in a state of disgraceful inferiority; "Thou art not Cæsar's friend if thou let these Men go free."

But if the Diffenting Ministers, who were reprobated on this occasion with so much severity, had really been as bad Citizens, as turbulent and feditious Men, as their accuser wished to represent them, how could it consist with justice for the faults of two or three Individual Diffenters to punish thousands of that denomination, not only without evidence of their difloyalty, but even against their folemn protestations of attachment to the Constitution, before the accufation was heard or fuspected; and against the ftronger proof resulting from the uniform and collective loyalty of the Diffenters for the preceding century. If the General Body of Diffenters in the present age should be acquitted of difloyalty, and yet their punishment as difloyal Men should be thought necessary, because the influence of the Ministers alluded to may too probably taint the Diffenters of the next generation with their obnoxious principles, it is impossible to foresee, to what intolerable oppression this strange principle may not lead the Legislature. Justice ought to be to States as well as to Individuals, the unchangeable rule of their morality; to inflict hardflips on particular classes

of Men, who have actually done nothing to merit them, is a palpable breach of that rule, which the bare possibility of danger from those Men cannot justify. But to treat Men of this age with hardship, on a precarious speculation, that dangers may arise from other Men of the fame fect in some future generation, is to combine the utmost injustice with the most manifest impolicy.

Observations like these were ably, though ineffectually, urged in favour of the Diffenters, by their magnanimous Advocate on the late motion for the Repeal of the Test-Act. But the powerful reasoning urged on that occasion might have received perhaps fome additional force, had it been inquired whether the allegations against the accused individuals afford any substantial ground even for their conviction as bad Citizens, on whom the disabilities of the Test Laws might be inflicted, or continued with justice. That this question may be discussed with brevity, I shall confine myself to the consideration of the fingle case of Dr. Price, whose talents and virtues justly placed him at the head of the Diffenters; but whose character, mild and amiable as it was, could not protect him from the rage of their Accuser, who insulted his feelings with infolent cenfure or more infolent commendation; who exhaufted all the arts of his false and fraudulent eloquence to render this excellent Man at once odious and despicable; aiming in his supposed criminality to involve the whole Body of the Difference, and ultimately to difcredit the cause of Political Reformation, and the men by whom that cause had been promoted.

It has been alleged, and a deep impression has been made by the allegation, that more dangerous principles of non-conformity have been introduced or revived by Dr. Price, than those which had been the usual grounds of separation affigned by his predeceffors: That his diffent was not merely a diflike of Bishops or of the Clerical Vestments; a disapprobation of our Liturgy and our Articles of Religion; or a conviction that the imposition of human forms of faith and worship is inconsistent with the liberty of Christians and the divine authority of the Gospel: That Dr. Price was an adversary to every possible institution of a National Church: That he aimed to fubvert the present, without establishing another form of public Religion in its place: That he would difband the National Clergy, abolish every emolument provided for them by the State, and abandon the support of Religion to the voluntary zeal of Men willing, on such terms, to teach or to be taught: That the able Men who concur with him in thefe fentiments, would too probably fucceed in diffusing them through the whole Body of the Diffenters; and that the tendency and final iffue of these principles was nothing lefs than the introduction of anarchy

and

and confusion, the triumph of fanaticism and the ruin of learning and rational religion.

To this it may be replied, that it is the interest of the Community that a free examination of every Institution should be permitted, and even encouraged; and, consequently, that speculative Men, who may be led in the course of their disquisitions to condemn any Public Establishment, either in part or in whole, ought not to be punished by disgrace or any other penalty whatever.

Establishments, which in one age may have been useful or necessary, in another may have become useless, inconvenient, or pernicious; either the beneficial end for which they were ordained may be more eafily attainable without them; or fraud and corruption may have perverted them from their original defign to ferve an unworthy purpose; and then benefit to a few individuals may be produced, but the Community will be injured. And this is not uncommonly the end, whatever may have been the defign of all human institutions. Government itself, which is formed for the general benefit, is apt to degenerate into tyranny; the Magistrate is intrusted with much power, he grows fond of it, and wishes to usurp more; or, perhaps, he exercises the power he has, not for the common good, but his own feparate advantage; in either of these cases, it is a work of difficulty, and of danger too to controul the Magistrate:

gistrate; and therefore his ambition and his injustice too frequently succeed. And when Men are embodied in any fubordinate capacity, they are then apt to be influenced by a Corporation Spirit, which tempts them to prefer the partial interests of that class or profession to which they belong, to the general welfare of their Country. At first the establishment may have been benevolently and wifely planned, and the Public for fome time may have reaped advantage from the faithful Administration of its Officers: after that, the primitive zeal is observed to cool; the performance of professional duty becomes irksome, it is gradually relaxed, neglected, and at length wholly abandoned; and yet the emoluments originally annexed to the actual difcharge of duty, will frequently be retained, and even increased to the highest amount, when little or no professional duty has been per-Such is the tendency of human felfishness, under the specious pretext of zeal for the profession; and such the progress of every institution to a state of extreme abuse, when exempt from controul, and unfubjected to free examination.

It must be admitted, however, that partial evil may sometimes be the consequence of popular controll or free discussion. The just and patriotic Magistrate may be the object of groundless jealousy and distrust; and his power may be reduced within limits too narrow to permit

him to effect the good he wishes. But this is an evil comparatively of little moment. For it is easy to enlarge his power, when experience has shewn it to be expedient, or to adopt his plans, when discussion has proved their utility. But when the power of popular controul is lost, there are no regular or pacific means left by which the corruptions and oppressions of Government can be checked; the Community then lies prostrate at the feet of Absolute Power; and it will not be long before Absolute Power will have manifested its tiger disposition, and devoured its prey.

Unreasonable prejudice too may attack the most useful Institutions of a subordinate kind. It may demand the reformation of abuses where none exist; or it may attempt to abolish what ought to be only reformed. But here also, the evils refulting from the abuse of free discussion are evidently inconfiderable, and far out-weighed by the fuperior advantages of intellectual liberty. There is indeed, in all controversy, somewhat unpleasant to our feelings; we are apt to be indolent; we are apt to be fastidious; if the subiect in debate be trivial and uninteresting, the arguments of the disputer are thrown away, and his wit itself foon grown tiresome. If it be an important fubject, we have already perhaps formed our fentiments upon it; we dislike the trouble to review them, and complain that our repose and acquiescence in preconceived opinion

C 2 have

have been disturbed: Men of mild and amiable dispositions are apt to overlook the advantages of discussion, and to lament the heats which are often excited among disputants, and their frequent breaches of candour and charity; while Men of mistaken humility exaggerate the weakness and imperfection of human reason, and bewildered in the mazes of controversy, know not where to turn, or what opinion to adopt, but that discussion is to be shunned as alike to them fruitless and unsatisfactory. But we are not to reject profitable toil, because it is not always attended with pleasure, for that would be extrèmely unreasonable; nor are we, with mistaken humility, to doom human understanding to rust in perpetual inactivity, for that would be a mischievous and impracticable attempt to thwart the deligns of Providence, and stop the improvement of Mankind. Our intellectual faculty, imperfect as it is, was given by God to Man, to be freely exercifed, and to be alike the means of happiness to Individuals and to Communities: to suppress or obstruct the free operation of this instrument of our felicity must be in a greater or a less degree disadvantageous; it must have a tendency more or less direct to perpetuate whatever is false in human opinion, by preventing confutation; and to confecrate whatever is useless or pernicious in human institutions, by teaching us to think of the Works of Man. Man, as we ought to think of the Works of God alone, that whatever is—is right.

It is true, that in the controversies of speculative Men, and in the struggles of contending parties, the general opinion is not unfrequently erroneous for fome time; because Mankind are liable to be misled by the mistatement of facts, and apt to accept eloquent declamation instead of folid and conclusive reasoning. it is unquestionable, that what is true or beneficial, may be more eafily proved to be what it really is, than what is false or pernicious, can be proved to be what it really is not. fingle circumstance, a decifive advantage in all polemical struggles is for ever fixed on the side of truth and general utility; and hence, under a just freedom of discussion, that Cause which involves the interest of the Community will be ultimately fuccessful. Sometimes the Adverfary of that Caufe may combat with a transient superiority; he may possess unusual powers of reafoning; he may cover his fallacies with uncommon art and eloquence; but his triumph will be of fhort duration, and the mischief done will be of little extent. Genius, equally vigorous, will foon arise to point out and correct his errors; equal powers of eloquence and reason will be exerted to recommend the truth, but with a fuccess more extensive and more lasting .- The Public Mind, having viewed the fubject on every fide, having feen every fallacy detected, every folid

folid argument unanswerably maintained, will yield at last to full conviction; and the consequence of the controversy will be, the advancement of Human Knowledge, and the augmented

happiness of the Community.

To what can we ascribe the gradual improvement of these civilized European Countries from a state of favage barbarism, but to the efforts of Reason; of Reason often exerted against the interests of an injurious Government in opposition to gross and prevailing prejudice, and in defiance of the fanguinary flatutes of an ignorant and intolerant age? How truly infignificant then are all the partial evils which have arisen from free examination and popular controul, when compared with the mighty benefits which have been obtained by these means, under circumftances very discouraging and very disadvantageous to the exertions of Reason and Public Spirit! And how greatly more might the condition of mankind be yet improved, in every art, in every virtue which can accommodate or adorn human life, if just restraints on the ambition of Government were generally imposed, if the free exercise of Reason were permitted, and difgrace were annexed by the laws to crimes alone.

But of all human establishments, those which have been formed for the promotion of Religion are perhaps the most liable to great and dangerous abuse. Respecting these institutions the freedom freedom of speculative men ought, least of all to be confined. From the reverence which is justly paid to the facred doctrines of Religion, the Priesthood in all Countries have usually acquired a great degree of influence over the National mind; and the history of Europe in the darker and less inquisitive ages shows, that this influence has been employed to aggrandize the facerdotal order, to suppress free inquiry, to encourage fuperstition, and to perpetuate, if that had been possible, the credulity and blind submission of the People by whom the Clergy had been unwifely elevated to excessive wealth and grandeur. After the revival of letters in the fifteenth Century, and the confequent diffusion of useful knowledge, a confiderable Reformation of Ecclefiaftical abuses was soon effected; the doctrines of Chriflianity were explained in a manner more confonant with common fense, and the riches of the Clergy were reduced within more moderate bounds in England, and in many other parts of Europe. Whether that Reformation of the established Church in England was carried at first as far as it ever ought to be carried; whether the doctrines and the forms of Public worship in our Church are unexceptionable; whether the power and wealth of our Clergy are conducive to the advancement of piety, or the benefit of the Community; whether the ends of Religion may not now, or at fome future period, be more completely obtained, through the diligence of Ministers

Ministers depending on the voluntary contributions of their respective Congregations, than under the Ministry of an equally learned but less active Clergy for whom the laws have provided an income independent of their Parishioners; these are questions on which speculative men should be allowed to differ, and to give a negative to each, without reproach. For it is important to the Community, that on these subjects it should form a just and well considered judgement, not an opinion taken up without examination, on the authority of the Priest, or the Magistrate; because they may have an interest to support abuses, but the Community cannot.

These are at all times proper subjects of discussion; and if in each case, the question should be decided by unbiasted Reason, the honour of Religion, and the welfare of the Public, would not be facrificed as they often have been to gratify the wishes of the superior Clergy; the correction of abuses, the more successful promotion of piety, justice, and benevolence would then become the primary objects of attention; and the case and accommodation of Ministers in the House of Peers would be deemed subordinate considerations.

But when the examination of fuch questions is prevented by the State, or which is nearly equivalent, when diffent is punished in the fanguinary mode of corporal feverities, or different couraged

couraged by legal difference and the privation of Civil Rights, the natural confequence of this policy must be a constant accumulation of abuses in the Church, instead of progressive improvement; and the strong and increasing disapprobation of serious men, instead of that general conformity which a more liberal policy would

gradually produce.

But it may be objected, that the principles of toleration here laid down are too extensive to be valid; that they hold out impunity to men of all religions and of none; that to tolerate unbelievers of every class is to encourage irreligion; and that the confequence of fuch improvident encouragement of irreligion, in any Community, must be the final prevalence of Atheism, and the ruin of that fense of Religion by which the crimes of Mankind are chiefly restrained and their miseries consoled. These objections, perhaps, have been fufficiently obviated by the general observations which have been already stated. But fince pious men, from fuch invidious fuggestions, may too readily entertain fearful apprehensions of the event, should the principles of unlimited toleration be adopted; it may not be improper to recall to their recollection these unheeded, but important truths; that it is not lawful to use force, or any species of corrupt influence to promote the acceptance of religious truth; that the propagation of Religion by any means, but those of argument and rational con-

viction.

viction, is contrary to the whole tenor of the Gospel, and the practice of our Divine Master: that, under the Pagan Governments, foon after our Saviour's appearance in the world, popular fuperstition and philosophic incredulity were equally fubdued by the power of reason alone, and Christianity, poor, despised, and persecuted, in a fhort time became the Religion of the civilifed World: while in Christian Countries and in a fubfequent age, in which the Public Forms of Religion have not been improved up to the general standard of good sense, and in which the Clergy have become the objects of contempt or envy, for their wealth and power, for their fecularity, their difregard of professional duty, and their apparent inutility to the Public, no terrors, no feverities of an inquisition, have been able to prevent the growth of irreligion. Penal feverities may compel the unbeliever to fubmit to the Priest's authority: but aversion to a Religion, so propagated, will take a ftill deeper root in his heart; the spectator of his sufferings will sympathife with him, will be tempted to adopt his resentments, and join in his unbelief. On such occasions it is a reflection which naturally occurs: that force, or fraud, or corruption, must be unnecessary and improper supports of a Religion founded on Divine Authority; and the Church fystem, which cannot stand without those props, must have been built upon a foundation originally bad, or the superstructure must be in a very infecure

infecure and a very difgraceful ftate of dilapidation. From this dilemma, the intolerant Churchman finds it impossible to escape; but it cannot entangle the more consistent Christian, who respects the Rights of Conscience, and who, relying on the truth and divine essicacy of the Gospel, will not consent to dishonour his Religion, by employing fraud, or by accepting the aid of either compulsion or corruption.

In England, unbelievers are certainly liable to penal statutes of great severity; but the Spirit of the Times is more liberal than the Letter of our Laws; the indulgence of Government, and the concurrence of the Public, have reduced to a state of dormancy many of those intolerant statutes which have not yet been repealed. the Test Laws, which bear with fo much hardthip on Christian Diffenters of every fect, are found in practice feldom to exclude from civil offices, unbelievers of any denomination. It is notorious, that many of the fuperior stations of trust and honour are filled by persons who reject Ghristianity, and who scarcely admit a single article of the Religion of Reason. I cannot commend the laxity of their practice, who thus communicate with a National Church, the most important doctrines of which they wholly diffenor can I praise the policy of a Legislature, which retains a Test easily eluded by men of no Religion, and effectual only to exclude those conscientious Christians who scruple to

concur in fome uneffential particulars, but embrace the substantial part of the Public Religion. But while men of capacity, for Public Affairs, are to be found among the various tribes of unbelievers, it is an advantage to the Public, that their defective Creed should be no bar to their employment. In the present reign, Hume, Gibbon, Smith, were appointed without fcruple to respectable posts in various departments of the State; their appointment was honourable to the liberality of our Government, and they ferved their Country with the approbation of every candid and equitable Christian. But when a Politician can unite in himself the discordant characters of Bigot and Unbeliever, when he can support by force what he believes to be false; when he can infult the Religion of his Country by profaning its most facred ceremony in the act of Public Celebration; and yet can exceed the most intolerant Churchman, in zeal, to preserve the statutes of persecution unrepealed; if power should be intrusted to such a man, it would excite an indignant disapprobation which no professional abilities could diminish. And should he chance to fit upon one of the highest seats of Magistracy, it would only be more necessary, for the sake of injured Freedom and Religion, to brand him as an Oppressor of Men much better than himself: He, a gloomy fcorner of Christianity, who supports the established system of superstition, as he deems it, with

with all the terrors of penal feverity; they, conficientious men, who, in some uneffential articles, diffent from the Church, but who sincerely embrace the substance of that Religion, which their injurious persecutor wholly rejects.

If then persons, who diffent fundamentally from the Religion of the Public, ought not to fuffer the persecution either of corporal punishment or of legal diffrace, it is furely evident, that perfons who agree with the Church in fundamentals, and differ only in some unessential circumstances, ought, with still more reason, to be exempt from all discouragements, either of greater or of less severity. In England, for instance, if the questions should be debated, whether the Church does not need Reformation, in points which respect her discipline or doctrine, the distribution of her Revenues, or the political power of her Prelates; why should that Citizen incur difgrace, who reprobates Ecclefiaftical Sinecures or the translation of Bishops, and their confequent subjection to the undue influence of the Court, more than he who refeinded finecures in the State, and attempted to eject the Cornish Burgesses, the Cinque Port-Barons, from the House of Commons? Or if any one should hazard an opinion, that Piety and every Christian Virtue might be more effectually taught by Ministers, paid by voluntary contribution, than by a Body of Clergy, entitled by law to tithes and other emoluments, why fhould

fhould he not be allowed freely and without reproach to support his speculations; just as another on a different subject, of far inferior, but still of great importance, might, without offence, declare his opinion, that a ftanding army for the defence of this island is unneceffary, and might be exchanged with advantage for a volunteer militia? That the establishment of a National Church, in any Country, is absolutely necessary for the support of Religion, is a position which cannot be maintained, with any colour of reason, because it is contradicted by indisputable facts, in ancient and in modern times; by the gradual propagation of Christianity for three centuries after the death of Christ, without the aid of an established Clergy, and by the fuccess which now attends the labours of the Diffenting Ministers, who, like their Predecessors in the primitive ages, devote themselves to the service of Religion, without a prospect of legal provision. That such Institutions are in their nature unlawful, is another position which is equally untenable; because the Jewish Religion affords a direct precedent of a National Church established, as every Chriftian must admit by Divine Authority, because the formation of National Christian Churches is. not forbidden by the Gospel; and because com-MON confent affords to National Churches, as it does to those which are merely congregational, a fimilar and a fufficient fanction. But when diffentions

diffentions have arisen, in any Country, respecting the Religion of the Public, and no affent of the People approaching nearly to general approbation can be supposed, there may then arise questions of expediency, whether an attempt ought to be made to regain that general approbation which has been lost, by reforming the Institutions of the Church, or whether the establishment itself ought not to be wholly laid aside, and the propagation of Religion to be intrusted to the zeal and assiduity of unendowed Teachers.

On this fubject I am free to acknowledge, that my fentiments differ widely from those which have been imputed to Dr. Price. The establishment of a Parochial Clergy, under the fuperintendance of Episcopal Officers, in the present very imperfect state of knowledge and virtue in the World, appears to be of important use. In England, though many of the Clergy are fuffered to hold a pleurality of livings, to be even wholly non-resident, and the litigious nature of the provision, to which the law entitles them, often renders those who do not desert their Parochial Duty, incapable of doing the good which otherwise might be expected from them; yet, under these manifest disadvantages, our Parochial Clergy may justly be praised as a very learned and a very useful Body of Men; of whom many, perhaps a majority, are exemplary in the performance of their duty, and fuccessful in promoting good morals and a ferious fense of Religion. Eveninthe mostremoteand unfrequented

parts of the Country, good order, decency, and civility are promoted, in a confiderable degree, by their example and influence, in the fmall diftricts which are committed to their inftruction. And though grave and able Paffors, of the Diffenting Congregations, are fupported in a refpectable manner by voluntary ftipends in many of our rich and populous towns; I know not any facts from whence it may be collected, that the fmall and thinly peopled parifhes in the country would be generally fupplied with a fet of Miniflers as learned and rational as the prefent, if the establishment of any Parochial Clergy were to be abolished.

But though the opinion of Dr. Price, on this fubject, may have been erroneous, yet it was the error of a fincere and generous mind. benevolent, and difinterested, he may have thought mankind more generally influenced by an active zeal for Religion, than experience proves them to be: convinced of the Divine Origin of the Gospel, and its efficacy finally to overcome all opposition, he may have valued too lightly the benefits actually derived from our National Clergy, and the much greater advantages which under a reformed Establishment would foon be the confequence of their increafing zeal and affiduity: warmed with the contemplation of that state of perfection, to which mankind are uniformly, though flowly advancing, in which Piety will be found to flourish without

without the aid of the Priest, and Justice will be fecurely relied on without the intervention of the Magistrate, he may have been too ready to conclude that Ecclesiastical Establishments, in every form, are useless at present, and ought to be exchanged for the simple and original mode of Instruction, by voluntary and unendowed Teachers. That we are far diffant from that state of general knowledge and virtue, in which it would be expedient to adopt this scheme, is readily allowed. But shall a Man. of great and useful talents, of exemplary piety and virtue, be punished with infamy for speculations like thefe, which, instead of being derogatory from Religion, manifest the firmest reliance on its Divine Authority: or forfeit his civil rights for prematurely proposing schemes of Instruction, which every good man must wish we were worthy to receive, and which it is the joint aim of Law and Religion to fit us to adopt? If these peculiarities of opinon, which, in fact, have been altogether harmless, are yet in a legal confideration offences against the State for which Dr. Price was justly punishable; what Man of ferious thought can be deemed innocent, or in what but abject ignorance, or a fervile compliance with Public Opinion, can fafety be found? Intolerance has a microfcopic eye, which can discover danger or criminality in any speculation on any fubject. In her view, whether the subject of disquisition be theological or moral,  $\mathbf{E}$ whether whether it relate to metaphyfics or natural philosophy, freedom of inquiry is always dangerous, and novelty of fentiment is never free from guilt. On fuch reasons, the disgrace of Price may be approved; and on fimilar grounds, Clarke and Hoadly, Newton and Locke, Churchmen, who were the ornaments of their profession; Philosophers, who were the honour of their Country, might have been condemned as Men of dangerous speculation and disturbers of the Public Peace. And thus the Zealots of Church Power, proceeding step by step from one degree of intolerance to another more severe, might justify, by parity of reason, the imprisonment of Galilco at Rome, the legal murder of Servetus at Geneva, the burning of Protestants in London, and the maffacre of the Hugonots in Paris.

Such were the barbarities which difgraced our Ancestors in a ferocious age. Under a state of milder manners, we shudder at the mention of them; and the execution of a single Dissident at Smithfield, would now excite general horror, and prove the ruin of our National Church. But though these cruel spectacles are seen among us no more, our cager Churchmen must not slatter themselves that they have ceased to be intolerant. Toleration indeed has long been the maxim of our Civil Government; in the present reign, the severer statutes of persecution have been repealed, or their

their operation, with few exceptions has been fuspended; and if zealous Churchmen had testified no repugnance to the repeal of the Test Laws, it is probable that the conduct of Government, on the late agitation of that question, might have been conformable with their general maxims, and the request of the Dissenters might have been granted by Parliament. But furely little praise is due to the tolerant principles of those Churchmen, who applaud indeed the repeal of those fanguinary statutes, which, from the increased humanity of the age, it was found impossible to execute, but who obstinately insist on retaining those less rigorous Penal Laws, which, punishing opinion by difgrace and the forfeiture of civil rights alone, may still be allowed to operate to a great extent, with no immediate hazard.

To Louis XIV. it feems to have been exclufively referved, in an enlightened age, to join the extreme of refinement, with that of intolerant feverity. His Capital was the residence of science, arts, and learning; his Court was the feat of fplendour, elegance, and every voluptuous enjoyment; but his Cabinet was the cell of an Inquisitor. Intoxicated with conquest and unlimited power, this Despot resolved to endure no Religion in France but his own; he revoked the Edict of Nantes; he fent Dragoons into every Province to compel his Protestant Subjects to embrace his Religion, but diffatisfied with E 2 their

their fuccefs, he fent other Missionaries in this unhallowed work of conversion, to seduce and to win them over by bribes. It is difficult to say, whether the compulsion employed on this occasion was more odious and detestable, or the corruption was more base and despicable. But it is too evident, that so long as our Test Laws shall remain unrepealed, the conduct of our Government will bear a near resemblance to the practice of this superstitious Tyrant in these pecuniary conversions. The mode is somewhat different; the principle and the effect intended are the same.

Our milder system of intolerance indeed disclaims all corporal feverities, but it endeavours corruptly to support the Religion of the State; by annexing honours and the emoluments of civil office, to the profession of orthodox belief. But this species of undue influence is as impolitic and ineffectual for the end proposed, as it is unreasonable and contrary to the purity of the Gospel; it dishonours the Public Religion, and yet it does not diminish the number of Dissenters. contrary, the Diffenters have long been a growing Body; and they are now increasing, perhaps, with greater rapidity than in any former There are among them Men of great learning and genius, who, to piety and unblcmished morals, add an eagerness of zeal against the Established Church; and undoubtedly the present increase of non-conformity, in a confiderable fiderable measure, may be imputed to their unwearied exertions. But this is not a circumftance peculiar to the present age. The Disfenters have always had among them able and zealous Men, whose high reputation gave credit to their cause; and whose diligence and affiduity gained profelytes not merely among perfons of the inferior stations, but in a greater proportion, perhaps, among ferious men of liberal education, and of opulent or independent fortunes. This, therefore, cannot be deemed a cafual advantage which the Diffenters of this age possess, nor can the gradual increase of their number, during the last century, be accounted for by any accidental or precarious circumstances; it must have arisen from some permanent and powerful cause, which, under the pressure of Penal Statutes and against the efforts of a numerous and learned Clergy, has operated with conftant and increasing success to separate wife and good Men from the Establifhed Church.

It is true, the adherents of the Church of England still form a large majority of the nation; but many nominal Christians and Unbelievers of various forts are classed among them; and there are many sincere Christians who have expressed, but more, perhaps, who have concealed their disapprobation of the forms of our Church, and their wishes for a farther Reformation, and yet are not convinced that they

they ought to join the Non-Conformists .-These considerations seem clearly to prove, that a mitigated intolerance is ill calculated to promote uniformity of Religion, that our Test Laws are unprofitably fevere, and that we ought to abandon them for their inefficiency, even if duty did not require us to renounce them as dishonourable to the Gospel, and oppressive to our unoffending Fellow-Citizens. It is furely fusficient, that the inutility of this corrupt fystem of intolerance has been proved by the experience of a century; it is time that more honourable measures should be tried, that the maxims of impartial justice and equity should more confiftently govern the conduct of the State. They are the maxims on which the wealth and tranquillity of nations principally depend; and weighed against them, the interefts and wifnes of the fuperior Clergy ought to be deemed as dust in the balance.

But the too cautious Churchman may here exclaim, that the adoption of these specious principles of universal toleration would not lessen, it would rather aggravate the evils of controversy and distention; that the repeal of the Test Laws and the removal of every other penal restraint, which operates as a sence to the Establishment, would open to the Dissenters a prospect of success, which would augment their number with increasing rapidity; and still as their number approached more nearly to that

of their opponents, those fierce animosities would be more inflamed, which it ought to be our endeavour to cool and compose. And it must be acknowledged, that these apprehensions would be by no means groundlefs, if, after having annulled every flatute of intolerance, from which the Public Religion has hitherto received a difgraceful fupport, the State should fusfer no Reformation in the Discipline, the Revenues, or the Public Forms of our Church. But if the concession of perfect toleration must ultimately conduct us to an Ecclefiaffical Reformation, why fhould that confequence imprefs the minds of our Legislators with terror, or excite the opposition of Statesmen, who are friendly to Religion, and who feel the noble ambition to improve their Country. With the fuperstition of elder times, we have done well to abandon their fystem of fanguinary persecution: It was a system offensive to humanity, offensive to the free spirit of our Constitution; and the tranquillity which it was calculated to produce was not the acquiescence of men convinced and satisfied, it was the repose of ignorance and blind credulity, the the submission of slaves, afraid and often unable to think. If the State flop at this point of incomplete toleration, willing to discontinue corporal feverities in fupport of the Public Religion, but determined to protect the abuses and imperfections of the Church, by disqualification for Civil Office, and the infliction of legal dif-

grace; in that case, a state of contention, still increafing in turbulence, feems unavoidable.-The degree of perfecution at prefent employed is fufficient to provoke and exasperate, it is not fufficient to intimidate and suppress the opponents of the Church: By the rigour of a sterner intolerance the inconveniences and the advantages of non-conformity might have been unknown in England, as they are in Spain and Italy; free inquiry might have been stifled, the National fystem of Religion might have been established on unquestioned authority; and apparent uniformity might have been obtained. On the other hand, by a complete restoration of the rights of conscience, examination would be encouraged, knowledge would become generally diffused: Abuse and Superstition would vanish before the increasing light, and mankind would gradually attain the fummit of moral dignity, and happiness. But in the policy which we have adopted there is much injustice to no end or purpose; we are intolerant, without obtaining uniformity, or fecuring the Public tranquillity; and we fustain the mischiefs of dissention and perpetual controversy, without reaping any improvement from free examination.

But let us for a moment fuppose, if the supposition will not be too alarming to the timorous Churchman, that the Test Laws have been repealed, that every trace of intolerance has been expunged from our statute book, and that a

Commission

commission has been issued by due authority to Prelates and Lay Churchmen difting uifhed for their wisdom and the liberality of their sentiments, requiring them to review the whole fystem of our Religious institutions, and to prepare a plan for its amendment. What measures of Reformation could in all probability be the refult of this appointment, which would not be truly beneficial to the Community and fuch as ought to be promoted, if there were not a fingle Diffenter in the Kingdom. Is it a possible consequence of fuch commission that the Liturgy would be discontinued, and the unpremeditated prayer of the Diffenters would be adopted in its ftend? Or that the Liturgy might be continued and in fome parts might remain unaltered; but in many places by the intermixture of fentiments as disputable as those which would be expunged, would be rendered unacceptable to the great majority of Churchmen. Judging from the writings of Clarke and Hoadly, Jones and Jortin, Blackburne and Law. or from the known temper and writings of those eminent Churchmen of this age, who probably would compose a part of the commissioners, and whose fentiments would undoubtedly have great weight with their Lay colleagues, we may fafely pronounce that no fuch confequence would be It is the fentiment of every liberal Churchman, and it has been often expressed, that the use of a Liturgy ought to be continued, and that our present Liturgy, in the main part of it ought

ought to remain unaltered; but it is their clear conviction also that the language of dispute should never be heard in our addresses to the Almighty, and therefore our Forms of Public Worship ought to be rendered as nearly as they can be, conformable to the practice and phraseology of Scripture; fome repetitions too they conceive, might be struck out of the Liturgy; fome improvements might be introduced in its arrangement; and fome verbal and grammatical changes might also be made with obvious advan-These are alterations which to be approved, feem to need only to be flated: but rational and worthy men there may be who from excess of caution, and unperceived prejudices of various forts, may not be willing to fubject our Public Forms of Worship to that revisal and correction, which to many appear indispensably. necessary; but they will not be found in concert with Enthusiasts and the more sagacious Hypocrites of Church Power, contending that the Liturgy is too perfect to admit improvement, or denying that the changes fuggefted would render that venerable composition more free from just objections, more conducive to rational Picty, and more effectual to fatisfy and unite to the National Church, the generality of serious Christians.

Can it be apprehended that fubscription to the present Articles of Religion would be discontinued, only that a new system of Articles as exceptionable ceptionable, as liable to dispute, might be obtruded in their room? The apprehension is totally groundless; all the most distinguished friends of Ecclefiaftical Reformation have uniformly disclaimed and combated the design.-They well know that to substitute new unscriptural articles for the old, would be to change the fubjects of dispute, or to vary their position, but not to leffen the violence of disputation, or to confult the honour of our Religion. They have generally declared their opinion that subscription to any fystem of human articles is improper; but if that form or practice were dispensed with, they could be well content, I am perfuaded, that the Thirty-nine Articles should remain in the Book of Common Prayer as a monument of the theological opinions adopted by the Anglican Church in former ages, and a standard from which it has not thought fit to deviate in the prefent.

Can it be feriously thought, that the measures of the Commission here supposed, would tend to unnerve and relax the discipline of the Church? or to impair the dignity of the Bishops, or in any degree to lessen the general income of the Church? The apprehension of such consequences is too improbable; it is plainly an imaginary fear.—With respect to discipline, the direct reverse of relaxation would be the certain effect of a farther Resormation of our Church. There are perhaps no abuses which have been carried to so great excess, in the Church of F 2

England, none which have had fo fatal influence on the morals and Piety of the People, or which have excited fuch just and general complaints, as the Non-Residence of the Clergy, and their frequent possession of a Plurality of Livings. Whenever our Ecclefiastical fystem shall undergo a ferious review, those abuses will undoubtedly receive due correction: and if the Bishops possess not sufficient authority to compel the habitual residence of the Parochial Clergy, and to prevent any Plurality of Livings, as the law now stands; such authority ought to be given to them, and the most effectual means ought to be provided, for fecuring the due execution of any new law which might be passed, to prevent such enormous abuses in future.

With respect to the dignity of the Bishops and the general Revenues of the Church, the fentiments of a Patriotic Bishop have been explained with his usual energy and intrepidity; and apparently, with the general approbation of those Churchmen, who deem a Reformation of the Church expedient. If the advice of this diftinguished Prelate were taken upon this subject, is it probable that he would recommend a diminution of the authority of the Bishops over the Parochial Clergy; or propose their degradation from their feats in Parliament? Nothing like either of these measures can be supposed; the worthy Prelate certainly has no fuch defign; his advice has already been offered to the Epifcopal Bench, and and had it been accepted by his Right Reverend Brethren, perhaps the true interests of the Church of England would have been better confulted. For it cannot be dissembled, that a general suspicion at present prevails, that our Spiritual Lords are frequently and unduly influenced in their Parliamentary conduct by the hope of translation. It is evident therefore that a prohibition of the future translation of Bishops, and as the Episcopal Sees become vacant a gradual equalization of their revenues, would tend to re-establish the dignity and proper influence of the Episcopal character.

And if from funds which are neither difficult to be pointed out, nor improper to be thus employed, means were adopted to augment the small benefices of our Church, to such extent that each benefice would be a decent provision for the maintenance of a resident Clergyman; who could then complain of a strict execution of the laws against Non-Residence, or who could think that such a distribution of the Church Revenues, as that here alluded to, would not tend to the advancement of Religion, and the general satisfaction of the Parochial Clergy?

The last subject of complaint respecting our Ecclesiastical Institutions, viz. that of Tithes, shall be briefly noticed. This mode of providing by tithes for the Parochial Clergy, is often attended with great vexation to those who receive and to those who pay those dues, and it

manifestly

manifestly tends to obstruct and defeat the endeavours of the Parochial Clergy to be useful in their respective stations. Whenever our Church Syftem, therefore, shall undergo a revision, it is not improbable that this inconvenient kind of provision for the Clergy will be changed for another that is more unexceptionable. And if a valuation of the Tithes, payable to the Clergy throughout England, were made under due authority, on an average of their value for the three last years, and the average price of wheat for the fame term were fettled in a fimilar manner, it appears that a full and proper equivalent for the Tithes to be abolished might be obtained for each incumbent, in the shape of Corn-Rents, payable out of those lands which are now charged with the payment of Tithes; the Corn-Rents to be levied as the Poor's Rates are collected, but by a feparate affeffment; and the quarterly payment of these rents in four equal sums to be enforced in the same summary manner. fuch regulations a provision for the support of the Parochial Clergy would be established, which would be adequate, permanent, and not liable to fink or rife with every fluctuation in the price of money: and thus the strife and litigation which too frequently arife between the Paftors and their Parishioners, respecting their present dues, would be totally extinguished; they would learn to confider each other as friends, whose interest was always the fame; mutual good-will and harmony would fublift between them with fewer interruptions; and the great bufiness of Religion would be more fuccessfully advanced. In a political view, the benefits of the change, as tending to promote a more skilful and extensive cultivation of the ground and an increase of population, are too apparent to need any illustration.

A Reformation of our Church, effected on these principles of moderation and mutual forbearance, would neither condemn any man's tenets nor impose them on others, it would not alter the standard of our Religious Opinions, nor vary the general tenor of Public Worship, it would not tend to unhinge the Constitution, by weakening the just authority of the Aristocracy; it would have a different confequence; by purifying the hierarchical part of it, and removing those suspicions of undue influence which now difcredit the Episcopal Bench, it would tend to fortify the Constitution, and add fresh reputation to the House of Lords. It would not affect the property of any Churchman now possessing benefices; it would establish the proposed alterations in the distribution of the Revenues of the Church gradually, and as vacancies might be opened by death or promotion. And having fixed for the Parish Clergy a mode of provision, which would be adequate, permanent, and free from that frequent litigation to which their present property is subject: and having alfo fecured their habitual refidence in their respective parishes; it would at once promote the comfort of the Clergy and their People; and at the fame time advance the interests of Religion and the political welfare of the State.

That an Ecclefiaftical Reformation, conducted on these principles and to this tent, would fatisfy all who are now diffatisfied within the Church and without it, I am far from meaning to affert, for no possible measure of human power and wisdom could produce that miraculous effect; but it would fatisfy many wife and confcientious Churchmen; it would reconcile many rational and moderate Diffenters; it would tend greatly to prevent the future defection of Good Men from the National Church; and if some able Men fhould still continue to think their mode of instruction by unendowed Teachers of Religion, not only preferable to the Public System, but alone unexceptionably proper, and fit in thefe times to be adopted, still I am persuaded they would rejoice to behold the Cause of Religion promoted more successfully by the National Clergy, under these regulations of the Church; although the diligence and fuccess of the Clergy might possibly for a time reduce their notion of the impropriety of any Public Establishments of Religion to an unconfequential fingularity of opinion.

It will be objected, undoubtedly, that INNO-VATIONS are dangerous; for this has been the perpetual obstacle to every improvement; and if the objection had not been very frequently over-ruled, Mankind, inflead of enjoying the comforts of civilized life, and attaining the dignity of rational and religious Agents, must have continued still in their favage state, wandering among the woods as wild as the beasts with whom they were surrounded. The alleged danger of Innovation, therefore, when destitute of any apparent probability from the circumstances of the change proposed, can only be deemed the vague surmise of an imaginary fear, the contempt of wise men, and the bugbear of sools alone.

But it will be observed, that Innovations in matters appertaining to Religion are always attended with peculiar danger; and that, in fact, few infrances have occurred of a confiderable change effected in the Religion of any Country, without producing Commotion, and even Revolution in the State. If this is a valid objection to any improvement in our Religious Institutions at prefent, it was a valid objection in the days of Luther, and in the earlier ages of Christianity. Many perfecutions and many calamitous viciflitudes of fortune were endured, by the Primitive Christians, for three centuries before their Religion became the Established Faith of the Roman Empire: and in modern times, the Reformation of the abuses of the Church of Rome convulsed all Europe for ages. But each of these innovations, so highly bene-

G ficial

ficial to Mankind, was fatal to the ancient Religion of those respective ages. Long established practices were condemned, deep-rooted prejudices were reprobated by the first Preachers of the Gospel, and their Successors the Ministers of Protestantism. After a long and violent struggle, Paganism first, and Popery afterwards, were vanquished by the Religion of the Bible; and the successful Innovators, in each instance, took possession of those Temples, from which, by the force of reason and argument, they had driven their Adversaries.

But no fimilar events are now to be apprehended from the proposed Reformation of the Church of England. The improvements fuggested, as they would be beyond comparison less beneficial than the two great Innovations just mentioned, so they would be less hostile to the ancient system which they are calculated not to destroy but to reform. The changes are proposed not by the Adversaries of the Church, but by Churchmen, jealous of her honour and anxious for her welfare; and if acceded to, they would be not the humiliating terms imposed by a victorious foe, but wife and feafonable concessions, adopted at the recommendation of friends for the fake of general conciliation.-The propositions contain nothing harsh, or exclusive, nothing injurious to the present Clergy, or tending to alter the Form of Government either in Church or State: If there is candour, equity, equity, or prudence, in the great Body of our Churchmen, a Reformation thus adopted, to heal divisions, and to comprehend and unite in one Society, Christians of various unessential opinions, could produce nothing like Commotion or Revolution: in a political view it would be a harmless, pacific, and even an advantageous change; and its consequences respecting Morals and Religion would be truly salutary to the Community.

On this brief confideration of the first part of my subject, it seems evident that no peculiarity of Religious Opinion which Dr. Price had, or could have adopted, ought to have excluded him from the Common Rights of Citizens, or fubjected him to any legal reproach; and that from the repeal of those statutes, under which fuch worthy Men have long endured unmerited difgrace, no confequence with any appearance of probability can be expected to refult, which would not be conducive to piety and virtue, and alike beneficial to the State and to the National Church. Let us proceed to confider his political herefies, as by fome they are thought; and to inquire whether in this view of his character, he were not equally capable of exculpation, and ought not to have received the same complete absolution.

It is true, that Dr. Price did not fcruple to declare his opinion, that the Conflitution of England is deformed by many abuses; that the

G 2

People

People are mocked with a mere fliadow of Reprefentation; that the House of Commons is funk in the grossest corruption. He was among the foremost to convey these sentiments to the Public, in warm and indignant language; and his strenuous zeal contributed greatly to animate the Popular Meetings, and encourage their Refolution to attempt the Reformation of Parliament. If thefe were errors of a pernicious tendency, and proofs of a feditious turbulence of disposition, some of the best and wifest men of this Country, as they have usually been esteemed, have fallen into the fame errors, and have manifested a similar disposition. Of the distinguifhed fenators alluded to, the name of one only shall be produced; but that a name which the accuser of Price once highly respected. his fentiments of Sir George Savile have been changed, the impartial public has not ceafed to revere him as a patriot of more unfullied honour than any other perhaps in the annals of England. It was his aim, indifputably, not to destroy the Constitution, but to remove its abuses, to correct its imperfections, and thus to augment the happiness of millions who live under its protection. Will candour then or will justice permit us to suppose that Price did not act with the same benevolent view? Or are fentiments and conduct, which are innocent and even laudable in Savile, feditious and criminal when adopted by Price?-Respecting

the corruption of Parliament, and the decayed state of our Constitution, their opinions, their language, and their conduct were fimilar .-With the reputation of Price, as a Political Reformer, that of Savile is intimately connected. Calumny may wish to separate the Philosopher, whom the hates, from the Patriot whom the would fear directly to attack. But she cannot difunite these excellent Citizens, and present them in a different point of view; fhe is unable to felect the object of her malice, and shoot her envenomed arrow at him, without hazard to the other; the fhaft which wounds the reputation of Price, must injure the same of Savile; and when our incomparable Patriot receives the praife which his Benevolence and Public Spirit justly deserve, Price must be intitled to share the commendation with him.

But I shall not content myself with having sheltered the Political conduct of Dr. Price, under the example of Sir George Savile; his exertions to promote the Restoration of our Constitution, may be defended in a way that is more becoming the dignity of his much injured character. Let it be considered then, that men do not attempt to correct and improve what they wish to destroy; that a strong disapprobation of a body of National Representatives returned by the little, venal, and enslaved Boroughs, and the corrupt and factious state of Parliament which is the necessary consequence,

may well confift with a decided approbation of the general frame of our Government; that he best proves his attachment to the Constitution, not who defends with blind or felfish zeal every defect which time, or fraud, or mifguided authority may have introduced; but he who wishes to preserve the ancient foundations untouched, and the general plan unaltered; yet endeavours to remove the blemishes which disgrace the venerable structure; to repair what age has injured, to fupply what experience has proved to be deficient; and to compel the fubtle thief, or more audacious robber, to restore what each may have purloined or plundered; that the strength and beauty of the Fabric may thus And when this is done, or be completed. attempted in a regular way, by means unexceptionably legal, it is not on fuch ground, that the charge of difloyalty or fedition can be fupported.

But Dr. Price admired the Constitution of the American Republic, and exulted at the success of the French Revolution; and from these admitted facts it was inferred, and pressed with the utmost oratorial vehemence, that he was criminally partial to the Governments of France and America, that he was hostile to the Constitution of England, that he aimed at the introduction of a Republic in this Country, that he was a bad Man, a bad Citizen, and a dangerous Innovator, and justly merited the joint punishment of pri-

vate consure and that legal disgrace which the laws already had inflicted upon him.

Such was the thin disguise assumed by spiritual perfecution; fuch the poor pretence thrown over the real with of the enemies of Liberty to introduce or extend a system of political intolerance, which happily is at prefent little, if at all, known among us. But furely it is an artifice unworthy that plain fincerity which best becomes the Legislature of a great Country to establish, or preserve a Religious Test, not with a view to repress any particular fect or doctrine of Religion, but indirectly to discourage some offensive peculiarity of political opinion. rule from which a Legislature should never depart, is to adopt no purpose which is unfit to be avowed, and having adopted fit purposes, to purfue them by plain and direct methods. To support the Sacramental Test, because it is conceived to be a convenient infirument to prevent the growth of Republican Principles, is to authorife Intolerance in the Church, that the Civil Government may be enabled, at the same time, to practice indirectly that political Intolerance which the law does not directly admit; it is to establish a profanation of a Holy Rite of Religion, oftenfibly to give the National Religion an unwarrantable support, but, in fact, to discourage political speculation, and to maintain the Constitution by means which it disclaims, by cunning, indirect and injurious methods, by the oppression of real innocence, and the punishment of a facilitious offence, which the Legislature has not thought fit specifically, and with due precision, to define.

It has been already proved, that no limitation on the exercise of our rational faculties can be beneficial to Mankind; that political speculation as well as religious disquisition ought to be perfeelly unrestrained; that free examination alone can check the growth of abuses, either in those Institutions which are of the highest importance, or in those which are of a subordinate rank, and that alone can fecure to Society the progressive improvement in all its establishments of which it is capable, from the increasing exertions of industry, the more general diffusion of property, and the gradual advancement of the human character, in knowledge, in civility, in the love of order, justice, and rational liberty. Fortunately for this Country, the State has been less jealous than the Church; and though restraints have been unjustly imposed on religious disquisition, the right of political fpeculation remains in a great measure unabridged. In this respect, the Teit Laws operate only incidentally, and as it were by chance; and the laws enacted to support the exclusion of the Stuart Family from the Throne of England, can produce their effect only on those Men, who, with Mr. Burke, deny the power of Parliament as the Agents of the Nation, to regulate or in any manner to alter the

the fuccession to the Throne. But questions have arisen, whether the House of Commons is a fair Representative of the People; whether the House of Peers might not better answer the purpose of its Institution, if no translation of Bishops were allowed; whether the influence of the Crown is not too great, even after all the reductions which it has undergone since the close of Lord North's Administration. On these subjects, I apprehend that there is no existing law according to which in its plain and direct meaning the most eccentric opinion can be punished.

These are subjects on which discussion is understood to be free, and some of the wifest Men this Country ever produced, in all these respects, have pronounced our Constitution faulty. That in them all it is faulty, in some degree, is perhaps indisputable; but to what extent of danger these abuses expose the Community, opinions may vary: whether the Constitution ought to undergo a more or a less extensive Reformation, whether it ought, with all its imperfections, to be supported and to remain wholly unreformed, or the political structure is too far decayed to admit repair, and ought to be taken down and modelled anew; these are questions which feem to be left open to the difcretion of each Disquisitor; respecting them no line has been plainly drawn by the laws, beyond which political criticism shall not be allowed to pass, H nor

nor has any clear criterion been fixed, by which the zeal of Public Spirit may be diffinguished, in a legal view, from the temerity of rash Innovation. It would indeed be difficult, if not impossible, by any previous definition, to ascertain the limits within which speculation on constitutional subjects ought to be confined; the restriction would be alike contradictory to the principles of the Constitution, and incompatible

with the interests of the Community.

Political Bigots, however, and Impostors in the State, like those in the Church, may wish to suppress this freedom of disquisition; and to obtain a new, or a more extensive code of political perfecution. But the temper of the times is adverse to every species of Intolerance; and aristocratical Men must despair to effect that extension in any direction. And yet, as opportunity offers, they feem not unwilling to hazard the most unusual stretches of Parliamentary Power, to punish the expression of bold but undeniable truths. When a Petition was presented, in the last Session, to the House of Commons, complaining of great abuses in the late election for Westminster, almost in the very terms used by Sir George Savile, on the general fubject of our Septennial Fairs or Markets, in his Address to his Constituents, the unwelcome truths grated on the car of Parliament, and hardly could the rage of fome hot Men be kept within any bounds of of prudence by the two wifest Members of that Assembly. But for their judicious interposition, a few truths strongly expressed, and somewhat too bluntly addressed to Parliament, by the hasty resentment of some intemperate Men, might have been productive of the most pernicious consequences.

But a foreigner, by his repeated attacks upon our Conflitution, has given more ferious occafion to Government, to exercife their disposition to forbearance. I trust they have resolved to pass by the Author of the book alluded to, without any legal animadversion; for in such cases, legal animadversion must be unsafe, or it must

be unnecessary.

If the Rights of Man had been a book feebly written, it would be folly, for it is needless to infift on any stronger objection, to drag the Author and his impotent malice into general notice by profecution. But it is a book ably and forcibly written, though neither with candour nor wisdom. In that piece, Mr. Payne attempts to depreciate the Constitution, or as he chuses to term it the Government of England, by painting its inconveniences and defects in the strongest colours, while its prefent advantages and the capability of its receiving in a fafe and regular manner, the highest future improvements are artfully thrown out of fight: He supports the doctrine of Republicanism, with an enthusiastic zeal, with an imposing confidence, and with rea-H 2 foning foning often specious, and always daring; some truths are interspersed among many fallacies and mifrepresentations, and a vein of coarse, but strongly farcastic wit runs through and clumfily enlivens the whole. His Counfel, to break up and destroy the noble fabric of our Conftitution, and rebuild a new political edifice on the plans of America, feems to be conveyed in the most dangerous shape, and far more likely to make an impression on those, to whom it is chiefly addressed, than if it had been delivered in a more claffical composition, in which more attention had been employed to avoid the groffness of indecent language, and more solicitude displayed to shun whatever might tend to excite the lowest classes of the People to acts of violence and injuffice. But mischievous as the effects of that book may be, they cannot be stopt by profecution; the attempt would only aggravate the danger. If no law has been provided by the Legislature for the direct and immediate purpose of protecting by penal functions, the general principles of our Constitution; no attempt, by any forced constructions of law to punish the Adversary of our Constitution, can be with reafon apprehended from the virtue and wisdom of those Men who compose a majority of the Ca-But if any penal flatute actually does exist according to the direct meaning of which, though hitherto misunderstood, his virulent cavils, at the frame of our Government, can be confidered

confidered as crimes, and the Caviller himfelf be subjected to punishment, it appears that such a law would be much more fit to be repealed than to be executed. For the right of intellectual freedom ought not to be violated, to prevent any occasional inconvenience from the abusive exercife of that right; the violation is always odious, and in the present instance would be very impolitic. Intolerance in the State, like perfecution in the Church, would dishonour and weaken what it was defigned to support.-The People cannot be compelled to love the Constitution; that affection must be voluntary, or it will not be felt; and it can only be generally felt, where happiness is generally and fecurely enjoyed. During the miseries of the American War no fymptom of difaffection to the Constitution appeared; and the bulk of the English nation remains still strongly attached to it. The Profelytes to republican notions are few at prefent, and inconfiderable: They probably would be increased in number by prosecution; but by impunity a wife forbearance will effectually prevent any eventual danger from their speculations, provided the condition of the People be rendered more easy by the farther diminution of their burthens, and their wishes be gratified by a timely correction of those abuses in the Conftitution, which have been fo juftly complained of. By these means, and by these means alone, the possible growth of a great Republican Party in this Country may be prevented; and thofe those seeds of differntion, which have been so widely differniated, may be trampled under foot, with contempt and abhorrence, by a con-

tented and happy People.

To the most sincere Friends of Public Freedom in this Country, the counsel of Mr. Payne appears ill-timed, impracticable, undefirable for England, and more likely to retard than to accelerate the recovery of our just rights; and the most judicious Supporters of French Liberty deem his advice not less unseasonable for France, where it is sitted to obstruct the settlement of the Country and to prevent the secure enjoyment of Liberty, under that free Constitution which the National Assembly, with so much dissiculty and hazard, and by such uncommon exertions of public spirit and political wisdom, had achieved for the French nation.

If, therefore, Dr. Price had concurred with Mr. Payne, in his wild project for the universal establishment of Republican Forms of Government; if he had afferted with him, that the English Nation has NO CONSTITUTION, and had proposed an attempt not to restore but to subvert what we call our Constitution, that, after the example of America, a NEW Republic might be built on the ruins of our ancient Form of Government: I must frankly own his character would have been undefended, and his memory unhonoured by me. I should not have questioned his proud integrity; I should have thought

thought him unconscious of the mischief and milery he was promoting; I should have confidered him an honest Enthusiast, whose imagination had been heated by the contemplation of great Revolutions; as that of Mr. Payne may have been inflamed with extraordinary fervor, by his having been an actor in the Revolution of America. But I am aware that an honest Enthusiast may be a dangerous Politician; that he may propose projects replete with mischief and ruin, and equally fatal to himfelf and to his followers; to the Community, and to the Caufe of Liberty, which he means to ferve.

But Dr. Price was a Patriot of a different class; though perfectly difinterested, he was not an enthufiaftic Politician; though active and public spirited, he was cool and rational; though ftrongly attached to Liberty, he was prudent and cautious to avoid unnecessary danger, not to himfelf, but to the Public .- When he confidered the flate of England, he beheld an excellent Conflitution difgraced by corruptions of every fort: but he faw too, that fomething more was left to the People than the bare right to petition the Legislature; that the mass of the Community was yet untainted by corruption, and zealous for Liberty; that in many districts the just power of the Conflituent Body, to controul their Reprefentatives, was still preserved entire; while some of the Peers, and many even of those Members of the House of Commons, whose title to fit in

that Affembly is unconstitutional, displayed a generous zeal to destroy abuse and usurpation, and restore to the People their ancient Constitutional Right. In these circumstances, he saw. with other Friends to Reformation, no reason to despair that a restoration of our ancient Right of a full and fair Representation, with triennial or even annual elections, might be gradually recovered for the nation by legal and pacific means. As on the one hand, therefore, he fcorned meanly to cheat his Countrymen by adulating their prejudices, concealing the faults and abuses of the Constitution, and endeavouring to lull them into a false security, that would have been fatal to their freedom; fo, on the other hand, perceiving that no necessity for great hazards existed, that the alternative offered to us was, not what was prefented to the French and American Nations, whether Slavery or a New Constitution was to be chosen; but whether a Civil War, for the chance of a Republic, was to be preferred to the gradual melioration of our mixed Form of Government by peaceful means; he wifely preferred fafe and progressive improvement to the doubtful event of great but hazardous Revolution.

And when the Men, who promoted a Reformation of the Conflitution, were unfortunately divided into two diffinct parties, one of which adopted that more moderate plan of improvements, which Mr. Pitt afterwards en-

deavoured to establish, and the other, espousing a more extensive system of Reformation endeavoured to restore the right of universal fuffrage, the fupport of Dr. Price was uniformly and from an early period given to the more moderate propositions. It is impossible, with the least appearance of equity and reason, to impute to the Duke of Richmond, and other Gentlemen who promoted the more extensive plan, any undue partiality to republican schemes of Government: but it is not lefs evidently contrary to candour and justice, to charge that improper predilection on Men, whose influence in times of much discontent was constantly employed to recommend to the People those less extensive demands, which appeared more likely to be attained by regular and pacific means.

About the fame time, when the attempt to fei e the Charter of the East-India Company, and to vest in a Body of Commissioners the whole civil, military, and commercial Patronage of the Company, with an unlimited command of the Treasury of Bengal, had excited a general apprehension of danger to the Constitution, the English Reformers, with few exceptions, exerted the utmost zeal to preserve the just Prerogative of the Crown. Whether this alarm were ill-founded, or otherwise, is not the question before us; it is sufficient to observe, that the avowed motive for a vigorous opposition to that measure by the Associations, and

particularly by the general Body of the Diffenters, with whom Dr. Price, on this occasion, certainly concurred in sentiment, was their conviction, that the principles of our limited Monarchy were endangered and ought to be supported. It is unreasonable, it is unjust, to condemn such Men as disloyal Citizens, from a detached phrase in a speculative Work, from an accidental expression of servor at a convivial Meeting, against the testimony of their general conduct, and many practical proofs of their fincere and settled wish, not to subvert but to restore the Constitution.

Confidered by this candid rule, which is almost always the reasonable and the just rule of Judgment, the conduct of Dr. Price respecting the Revolution in France is free from objection. His exultation on the establishment of French Liberty, was expressed in unifon with the general joy of his Countrymen; who without any invidious reference to the Constitution of England, or any wish to adopt the peculiarities of the New Constitution of France, rejoiced with him that the millions of men who had been Slaves in that Country, had regained THEIR NATU-RAL RIGHT TO BE FREE. And furely it is no extravagant compliment to his Political Judgment, to believe that although he approved the full Representation of the People in a single Chamber in that Country; in which no hereditary Chamber of Nobles ever had been known; yet he totally disapproved any design to copy

that example in England; where an hereditary Peerage has been established from a very early period of our Government; and where it is sufficiently evident that in the present circumfances of the Country, such a change in our Legislature would be at once impracticable and undesirable.

And with respect to the American Republic he may have thought very confiftently, that it was a Form of Government admirably adapted to the circumstances of that Country at the time when the Revolution was effected, and vet very unfit to be introduced in this Country, which is in many important respects circumstanced in a manner that is widely different.-He may have contemplated with pleafure that equality in the distribution of property which has happily taken place in America, and the confequent equality of ranks and privileges which the Citizens there enjoy; he may have expressed a mixed furprize and approbation of the humble port of Government in that Country and the cheap expence at which it is supported, when compared with the lofty and expensive grandeur of our European Courts; and yet on confidering his general conduct no reason may be found to doubt that he approved the Monarchical part of our Government; and even applauded the conduct of the other great and enlightened Nation of Europe, when with confummate prudence in the moment of emancipa-1 2 tion tion they refolved ftill to retain the Monarchy of France.

But Dr. Price deemed the condition of the Citizens of America preferable to that of the fubjects of Great-Britain. And who that is a capable Judge will deny it? By the generous treatment of Great Britain for ages before the unhappy quarrel, the inhabitants of America had been enabled to place themselves in a situation more fortunate perhaps than that of any other-Nation in the World. They now enjoy the benefits of Civilized Society, without its corruptions; they have the advantage of an equal distribution of property, without the usual Licence and tumult of Democratic States: for a population increasing with a rapidity unprecedented in any other Country they have an extent of territory amply adequate for ages to come; almost totally exempt from taxes they support their Government with the frugality of a little Swifs Republic, and yet have acquired the weight and confequence of a confiderable State, foon to rank on a footing of equality with the first Empires in the world. But could Britain attain that enviable degree of happiness, merely by adopting the American Forni of Government? No, certainly; were this adoption practicable. which undoubtedly it is not, yet unless a similarity in all the other circumftances could be obtained, a fimilar Form of Government would not confer equal happiness; it is much more probable

ble that it would create greater inconveniences than those which are at present complained of. But though this probably was the opinion of Dr. Price, yet, when he furveyed the different circumstances in each Country, and the different Governments corresponding with these circumstances which had been established in America and Britain, and compared the degree of felicity. which, under these distimilar Institutions, was enjoyed in each Community respectively, he weighed their merits with the impartiality of a Philosopher, and gave superior praise where fuperior happiness was found to be produced. Is this a liberty of speculation not to be endured in England? It is not a greater liberty than has been allowed in France, before the Revolution. under a Government then thought less indulgent than our own.

The Accuser of Price extols, with justice, the political writings of Montesquieu; and cites, with peculiar applause, his enthusiastic praises of the Constitution of England. And yet let me ask, by what slavish adherent of Despotism, in France, has Montesquieu been censured, for this partiality to our limited Monarchy, as an evil subject, and disassected to that absolute Government under which he dared to avow these generous sentiments? Or what good reason can be given, why the venerable Price should not be treated with equal candour and respect as Montesquieu himself? What has the English Di-

vine done, more than the French Lawyer, for which he ought to be degraded from the class of those true Patriots, who have laboured at once to promote the liberties and to preserve the peace of their Country? Montesquieu faw that the introduction of Political Liberty into France, in his time, was impossible. He submitted to the mild and mitigated Despotism of Louis XV. but he taught his Countrymen those lessons of Public Freedom and Happiness, which gradually prepared them, in the next age, to overthrow their despotic Government, and to establish a system of Political Liberty under a limited Monarch. Price faw the happier state of Mankind in those Countries, where simple manners and a general equality of property admitted a Republican Form of Government; but he knew that, in his time, the Establishment of a Republic in England was impracticable, that it was a mode of Government unsuitable to the very unequal distribution of our property, contrary to the general fentiments of the nation, and ill-adapted to conduct the political business of our widely extended Empire. these circumstances he did what a wife and good Man ought to have done; he owned the fuperior happiness enjoyed under the more popular and less expensive Government of America; but he zealously promoted that moderate correction of abuses, in the frame and duration of our Parliaments, which alone can prevent future convulsions

convultions in England, and perpetuate our prefent form of limited Monarchy.

In his preface to the Spirit of Laws, Montesquieu fays, "Plato thanked Heaven that he was born in the fame age with Socrates; and I thank Heaven that I was born a fubject of the Government of France." Dr. Price might have adopted fimilar language in England: He did more; he acted up to the fentiment which it expresses. In the year 1780, he was invited by the Congress to go over to America; he was requested to accept a principal Office in the State, and to lend his affiftance in the eftablishment of a new system of Finance, to support the Government of the United States; yet, thus honourably requested to become a Citizen, and in some measure the Legislator of the American Republic, he refused to quit his native Country, and determined to live and die a subject of the Monarchy of England.

Whence then, it may be asked, has sprung that torrent of soul reproach, which has been poured on the head of this amiable and disinterested Reformer, and on whole Societies of Men with whom he had united in the generous pursuit of Political Reformation. What could provoke such sierce animosity, such insatiable malevolence; far exceeding the utmost rancour of opposition, which the Associations experienced during the period of their greatest activity? Perfonal enmity, disappointed ambition, the loss of popularity.

popularity, and the despair to recover it, each of these circumstances may have prompted a mind naturally irritable, to an attack on a distinguished Leader of the Popular Party; whose principles Mr. Burke certainly never approved, and by whose opposition his scheme of power had been not long before deseated. But somewhat more than these combined causes seems still to be wanting to account for these extreme hostilities.

After Mr. Pitt's motion, in the year 1785, to amend the Representation of the People in Parliament, had been rejected by the joint efforts of the Aristocracy, they feem to have thought the danger which had threatened their ill-acquired power in the Boroughs was at an end; that the People who had not supported with sufficient vigour the attempt to restore the Constitution. when the fatal effects of Corruption and Aristocratical Usurpation had been recently felt, would be lulled by the usual effects of Peace into a total neglect of their Constitutional Rights, till, by their continued acquiescence, those abuses would be confirmed by a prescriptive authority, which would continually gain new ftrength, and which no future effort of the People would be able to overcome.

But in these flattering expectations the Aristocracy were much mistaken. The Associated Bodies, though unsuccessful in 1785, were not dispirited by their defeat. Their Principles of Reformation

Reformation had been adopted by a Minister who had obtained the confidence of his Country, and to whom the friends of the Aflociation were juftly attached with peculiar warmth. On these Principles, a comprehensive plan for amending the fystem of our Representation had been formed and proposed to Parliament, with every advantage which the zeal and eloquence of that Minister could bestow. Repulsed as he was by the Commons, it was then evident that he had not wholly miscarried. His plan was approved, though not adopted; it was allowed that it would form a much better fystem of Representation than the present; and though Parliament had negatived the motion by a great majority, yet the measure appeared to be rather postponed than rejected by the public.

The popular Agents were not then flunned and aftonished by the blow they had received, they did not then despair of final success. They knew their plan to be moderate, they felt it to be important, and they trusted, that a short time would show that the Rights and true Interests of the People were better understood; that the extent and danger of Election abuses and Parliamentary Corruption were more clearly discerned; and the importance and necessity of a Public Reformation were more generally felt by the Community. And these expectations have not been wholly disappointed. Since that period, the Associations have been reinforced by the

K

acceffion of many public-spirited and able men; and new Associations on similar grounds have been formed in disserent parts of the kingdom. Even in this indolent time of Peace, the spirit of Reformation for some years has been manifestly rising; and if the popular Party should fortunately add prudence equal to their increasing zeal, should endeavour to profit by their past mistakes, and avoid divisions by sirmly adhering to temperate propositions, it seems probable that a substantial Reformation of Parliament with every public blessing in its train may be accomplished soon, by pacific means, and in the regular course of Parliamentary business.

It was impossible that a jealous Aristocracy could be inattentive spectators of this alteration in the mind of the Public. Undoubtedly, they have watched the progress of the spirit of Reformation with much and increasing anxiety. At first, their fears for those abuses in the Boroughs to which they owe their unconstitutional power, were not excited in any great degree; but at the nearer approach of danger, their apprehenfions grew more ferious; and what was aversion to the popular Caufe before, was foon improved into keen and violent hatred. At last, the establifhment of a Legislative Assembly in France on equitable principles of Representation, the exultation expressed by the People here, on the fuccefsful efforts which had been exerted to emancipate the French nation; and the apparent ease with which a transition might be made in this Country, from admiring their Form of Representation to improving our own, these united circumstances pushed their panic to its present extremity. From that moment, their animosity no longer has known any bounds of justice, moderation, or prudence; the PEOPLE and their just Constitutional claims have been the constant objects of their unqualified scorn and derision, their unmitigated abhorrence and exercation; and still in each wild and moody change of temper, these alternate excesses of rage and ridicule, of horror and contempt, have been but the varied expressions of their FEAR.

But there was method in their madness, and even their terror was not without art and cunning. It was well imagined, though the idea wants the merit of originality, that the spirit of Bigotry might be opposed with success to the spirit of Liberty; and that an attack upon our Political Reformers ought to begin with the Diffenters and to be chiefly pointed against them: not because they are more vulnerable in any part of their Public conduct than their Affociates; but because against them it would be easy to revive ancient jealousies, which for some time had appeared nearly extinct; to rouze the fears of Churchmen for the fafety of the Bishops, and the established Religion; and by inlisting that powerful body of men against the Promoters of Political Reformation to fecure for one age at leaft,

К 2

the defeat of their defign. In the last century. a fimilar experiment had been tried with confiderable fuccess, against the Government of The Zealots of the Church were King William. then taught to confound an attachment to Liberty, with aversion to the National Religion; to think the Church was in danger, because Perfecution was in some degree restrained, and to plot the ruin of his Government, that the Protestant Religion might be safe under the Protection of the Catholic James .- In the next Reign near its close, the Hereditary Right of the Stuarts was maintained by the fame Party, with a zeal which the Disciples of Mr. Burke could hardly exceed, to prevent the fuccoffion of the Hanoverian Family, and the supposed unavoidable consequence of that event, the destruction of the Church of England .-- And now, after experience has repeatedly proved its folly and injustice, the ancient cry has refumed fresh powers of delusion; the reason of the besotted multitude is once more confounded; in the complete Toleration requested by Diffenters, they forefee the overthrow of the Church; in the growing fpirit of Liberty, they dread hostility to Bishops and their Articles, to the dignifyed folemnity of their Cathedral and the pious simplicity of their Parochial Worship. Their zeal is again excited for the suppression of Liberty; and in their Judgment, the fafety of our Religion depends on the continuance of a Septennial Parliament,

and

and the prefervation of the little venal and enflaved Boroughs in Wiltshire and Cornwall.

The time also for the commencement of this attack on the Reformers was fkilfully chofen.-The partial diforders which afflicted France, during her struggle for Freedom, and which are perhaps inseparable from every great Revolution, were then at their height. To a Rhetorical Writer these calamities presented an easy opportunity to dress up a train of horrible phantoms, to affright his Readers; and having thus fubdued their terrifyed imaginations, he would find it no very difficult talk to confound in their apprehension peaceful Reformation, with hostile Revolution; he would be able to perfuade them, that CONSPIRACY was at work here, that a daring faction of Republicans were at the eve of Infurrection, preparing to burst forth, and determined to involve their Country in all the miferies of Civil War. This advantage was feen by the Gentleman, who is perhaps the most Enthufiaftic Adherent of our Aristocracy; who has long been confidered as the confidential Interpreter of their Sentiments, the Dragoman of their little Mock Divan. He faw the happy opportunity, and he feized it; but not without fome prudent delay produced his Reflections; written in a mode of eloquence that is impure indeed, and meretricious; but not ill calculated by rapid declamation, and folendid imagery to furprise and seduce the Public opinion. By his vehement harangues in Parliament against the repeal

repeal of the Test Act, the minds of zealous Churchmen had been duly prepared to receive the intended impression.—By this more vehement Publication, the fears, the rage, and all the holy antipathies of our Zealots were increased to an extravagant degree; the most odious and chimerical suspicions were widely spread and received with implicit credulity; the bigotry of the great vulgar, and the small, was thoroughly inflamed; and Intolerance was stimulated by the self-vaunted Friend of Toleration, to repeat at Birmingham the same horrid excesses, which at the instigation of a wild Fanatic in 1780, had convulsed the Empire, and nearly consumed the Capital.

But in a Country where free discussion is not wholly prohibited, nothing that is contrary to truth and reason, nothing that is hostile to the general interests of the Community, can be permanently supported merely by rhetorical artifice, and eloquent mifreprefentation. When Civil and Religious Liberty were thus attacked, their Friends were not wanting to their defence.-In Parliament, Men connected with Aristocracy, but in a noble independent way, flood forward with a zeal for Liberty which I believe to be genuine, and at that moment superior to every perfonal confideration: they avowed their exultation with the People here, at the establishment of Liberty in France; demonstrated the inconfiftence of their ancient Colleague, and refuted the pernicious doctrine which he vainly endeavoured to maintain. Out of Parliament, a fuccession of able Writers, from every part of the kingdom, and almost of every denomination, Churchmen. Diffenters, Reformers, and Men who had not concurred in the measures of Reformation. all haftened to defend their Common Principles of Freedom. Some of these Patriotic Writers were completely victorious, and overthrew the positions of their Antagonist with a very superior force of reason and true masculine eloquence. Not one of them was wholly unfuccefsful in the combat, and unable to prove him erroneous in any important infrance; not one failed to produce fome example of groß misinformation, refpecting the Revolution in France, or fome mifftatement of facts and principles respecting the Revolution in England; fome mifreprefentation of the character and conduct of our present Reformers, some doctrine subversive of General Liberty, or contradictory to the true Principles of the English Constitution. By these united efforts to support the Cause of Freedom, the authority of our modern Filmer has been reduced to its proper level; and the impression, which eloquent declamation had made in oppofition to facts and the true theory of Government, has been gradually worn off the Public Powerful as the writings of that Gentleman have been to roufe and inflame the malignant passions, they have been weak and unfuccessful in the attempt to convince the judgment

ment of rational and difinterested Men; on whose decision ultimately depends the opinion of a whole Community. Already their determined disapprobation is followed by the general fense of the People, that the writings in question were calculated to stifle the Spirit of Liberty in England, and to perpetuate those gross Parliamentary Abuses, the necessary consequence of which must be a CORRUPT SYSTEM OF GOVERNMENT, which promotes the interest of a FEW, and injures or destroys the happiness of MILLIONS! but that their actual tendency is to create that difaffection which they have failed to prove; that riot and commotion have been their immediate effects; and that, by having roused the fierce spirit of Revolution, it is to be feared their eventual confequence may be to deluge the land with blood.

It therefore behoves the perfons who have long opposed every reasonable proposition, to correct those abuses of the Constitution, under the frivolous pretence, that they are INNOVATIONS, dangerous to the Peace of the Country, to consider, whether their continued perfeverance in this policy, may not produce the convulsions which every good Man would deplore, but which the privileged Orders in the State are more peculiarly concerned, by every just and prudent concession to labour to avert. At present, it is evident, that moderate measures

of Reformation, conceded with a generous franknefs by the Ariflocracy would be received by the People with joy, and thankful fatisfaction. Let the Septennial Bill be repealed, let the Reprefentation of the People be amended on the principles proposed by Mr. Pitt, let the Right of Suffrage be granted to Copyholders, and Houfeholders of a certain class, with effectual Regulations to discourage expence and tumult at elections, and without the aid of Mr. Burke's Afiatic eloquence to decry the NEW Conftitutions of France and America, the adoption of fimilar principles in England, would be for ages yet to come an impossible event. That this prudent restitution of the popular Rightswould foon be followed by the repeal of the Test Laws seems most probable; and the establishment of complete Toleration would certainly render fome farther Reformation in the Church unavoidable. But this correction of Ecclefiaftical Abuses would then be conducted on the fame principles of cautious wisdom, and well-tempered zeal which strongly recommend the plan of Political Improvements. which has been now mentioned. In that cafe Theoretical perfection would neither be attained perhaps, in our Ecclefiaftical Inflitutions, nor in the Form of our Political Government; but what would be practically much better would be effected; what would be more agreeable to the temper and prejudices of the Community, more fuitable to the state of morals, and the T. diftridistribution of property in the Country would be established.

By these temperate plans for accomplishing a Reformation of Parliament, for amending the Forms and correcting abuses in the Discipline of the Church, with the Repeal of every Intolerant Statute which would be naturally connected with those beneficial measures, the hazard of any great and violent Revolution in this Country would be avoided. The Nation would be happy in the fecure possession of Liberty, and in the establishment of a Religion completely tolerant in its Spirit, and in its forms at once rational and pious, yet calculated for the comprehension of good Men of many uneffential diversities of fen-The Diffenters of every denomination would thus be relieved and conciliated; the Bishops would recover the confidence of the Public; and the Parochial Clergy would acquire competence and private comfort; the Nobles would retain the fafe unenvyed possession of their constitutional privileges; and the People would rest satisfyed with the surrender of those usurpations on their Rights of Representation. and Frequent Election which have fo nearly ruined our happy System of Government. But fhould the Nobility, who on other great Political questions have been usually divided continue, combined, though with fomcfplendid exceptions, in their opposition to every equitable plan of Public Reformation, their mistaken policy may

too probably produce the most pernicious con-Men robbed or cheated of their fequences. Rights may be patient while a hope of redrefs remains by regular and pacific means; but when frequent denials of Justice have irritated, and driven them into desperate Councils, they seldom will ftop at the mere recovery of their Rights. The People may commence their struggle on firm ground in defence of their ancient and undoubted Liberties; but in the heat and fury of the conflict, they may too probably be led far to exceed those limits. In such unhappy contests, they naturally wish to disable their antagonists, they too often rush on to retaliation and revenge. This was the unfortunate confequence of commotion in a former reign; hardship and calamity to the Many, with the destruction of the most respectable Orders in the State, were then the effect of a Civil War, which was provoked by the oppressive Government of Charles the First, and in which the People originally engaged with a view not to destroy but to protect and preserve the Constitution.

In the actual fituation of the Country at this juncture, the dread of infurrection, as a nearly approaching calamity, is furely a groundless apprehension. No concerted design to recover the lost Rights of the People by force, and to retaliate on the ruling Classes can be thought possible at present; it must be allowed to be a visionary or an affected fear. The Spirit of Revolution

L 2 has

has been conjured up, indeed, by one who knows not how to lay it; but it stalks through the land, presenting a fearful and portentous appearance, gazed at and shunned by the general mass of the People. At this moment, the state of the nation, respecting its Commerce and Public Revenue, is prosperous beyond its expectations, or its hopes; general fatisfaction is the apparent confequence, and for some time that satisfaction may countervail in the Public Mind, the fense of danger to the Liberty of the Community. let not this flow of unexpected prosperity lull our hereditary Rulers into a false security, which may be fatal to them and to the nation. To be fafe, they must be just; and to preserve their Constitutional Privileges, they must consent to part with that power which they have gained in defiance of Law and the Constitution. The grievances complained of are great and undeniable; they are felt as evils; they are resented as injuries; and filent as the Collective Body of the People now are, and apparently to vulgar eyes neither very generally nor very anxiously folicitous for redress, yet the time may come, much fooner, perhaps, than many may imagine, when their refentment will be found truly formidable.

Before the debt of the Public can be much reduced, and the load of those taxes which bear hardest on the bulk of the Community can be taken off, in any considerable degree, a great European War may break out upon us; or in the course of our struggle to depress or dethrone the Sultan of Myfore, our Oriental Allies may defert us; difasters may attend our Armies, the Carnatic may be loft, and Peace on any tolerable terms may be unattainable. At Home, and in Ireland, many conceivable events, to which a more direct allufion would be improper, may contribute to increase the danger and aggravate the discontent of the By misfortunes like thefe the funshine of our present situation may be gradually, or fuddenly clouded over; and a stormy season may fucceed, in which the mild voice of moderation could not be heard. Aftonished by the fall of our national grandeur, impoverished by the lofs of credit, commerce, and landed rents, oppreffed by an enormous load of taxes, and exafperated by the long-continued injustice of the fuperior powers, the English People would probably then renew, but in a louder tone, those expostulations with which the ear of Parliament has been fo lately stunned; higher terms of Reformation, though still within the verge of the Constitution, would be expected; the restitution of our ancient Rightsof Annual Parliaments and Univerfal Suffrage would be demanded; and should those claims be refused by the Legislature, the discontent of the Public would be ten fold increased .-Impatient from diffrefs, enraged by this last injurious refusal of their Right, and urged on by the examples of France and America, the People would

would begin to extend their views of Reformation beyond the bounds of the Constitution; they would become less fearful of trying new and hazardous schemes of policy; the principles of the National Assembly, or of the American State, would gradually become the principles of a majority of the People of England; and thus the perfevering injustice of the Aristocracy would at last produce, in the Community, that disaffection to the Constitution, which, without falsehood and calumny, cannot now be imputed to a few Individuals. Again the most respectable Orders in the State would be attacked by the People; again the abolition of the Upper House of Parliament would be effected; and the Throne itself would be again shaken or overturned: and after infinite hazards and calamities, perhaps, a NEW Republic, the beneficial effects of which may well be doubted, would be established on the ruins of the Constitution; in which no effential change is now meditated by the Popu-Iar Party; or could be approved by wife and dispassionate Men. But the influence and credit which fuch perfons may now possess with the People, at that crifis would be loft; their pacific counfels would be over-ruled by the eagerness of impetuous Men, better fuited to the violence of that tempestuous season; their intreaties to respect the privileges of the Nobles, or the just Prerogative of the Crown, would then be flighted by the People, as their folicitations to restore the Rights

Rights of the People have hitherto been difregarded by the Nobles and the Crown.

Such, it is to be feared, would be the progress of our national diffentions, if an equitable Reftoration of Popular Rights be still delayed or denied; and thus, perhaps, a scene of confusion would be commenced, the mischiefs of which no power of numbers could calculate, and no human wifdom could then prevent. For the prefervation of general peace and harmony, from a just regard for rational Liberty and the happiness of the Community, it is devoutly to be wished, that justice may be conceded before the hour shall arrive, when fierce contention to recover the Rights of the Nation shall be unavoidable. Instead of hazarding their dignissed privileges and great conftitutional powers to preferve their encroachments on the Rights of Election, prudence and their interest, justly considered, seem to recommend to our great Patrician Families and to the Crown to fecure those powers and privileges, and the Constitution itself, by yielding a power unwarrantably gained, before the national refentment be completely roused, and moderate concessions no longer would be accepted with the grateful approbation of the To our hereditary Rulers and to the Community, there is much danger in the counfels of wild and enthusiastic Men, whose writings, however opposite they may be in their principles and their immediate aim, have the fame

fame ultimate tendency, by exciting extravagant demands on the one hand, and fuffering no concession on the other, to provoke discord and national confusion. In the peculiar circumstances of this Country, it is evident, that the consequence of those counsels may be in the highest degree calamitous. But by the patience and moderation of the People, and the justice and public spirit of the Nobles, and considential Advisers of the Crown, general harmony, on the principles of a fair and equitable accommodation, may yet be fecured, and the im-

pending danger may be averted.

That the fuggestions of prudence, and the still higher confiderations of justice and benevolence, may avail to prevent the miferies of a Civil War, every good man must deeply imprecate. should other counsels unfortunately prevail, should the Nobles, whether in opposition to the Crown, or in its confidence, continue infeparably united to support the prefent depraved state of the Legislature; should the Nation be finally provoked to feek redrefs from Commotion and a Revolution effected by force, in that unhappy event, which none would more finficerely deplore than they who have long been the Advocates of a temperate Reformation, those persons will at least enjoy the conscious satisfaction of having laboured to prevent confufion, not by quenching the spirit of Liberty, not by functifying abuse and usurpation, with every confequent

confequent corruption, but by pointing the zeal of their Fellow-Citizens to its proper and neceffary object, to the attainment of a just and moderate correction of great Parliamentary Grievances, by those orderly and legal means which our impaired Constitution still associated. At that calamitous period, popular rage may be the intrument of ruin; but the true cause of the public misery will be found in the pride, ambition, and selfish policy of our hereditary Ruiers; and that obstinacy, which resused to surrender the smallest particle of its usurped power, will be condemned by an impartial posterity, more than the violence of that national resentment which punished usurpation with extreme severity.

# APPENDIX

TO THE

DEFENCE of Dr. PRICE.

ADVERTISEMENT respecting the PAPERS contained in the APPENDIX.

THE First Paper in this Appendix, contains a Petition to Parliament from the County of Cambridge, in the year 1780, for the redress of grievances then universally felt and complained of. The Meeting was called by the Yeomen of the County: They formed a great majority of the Meeting, and they consisted chiefly of Diffenters from the Church of England. And yet a Petition, avowing an explicit preference of the Constitution of England, was adopted (with only one diffentient voice) by a Meeting so composed, in a season of great distress and general discontent under the conduct of Government.

The

The Second Paper contains a Petition to Parliament from the County of Kent, in the year 1782, which was afterwards adopted, with no material variation, by the Counties of York, Cornwall, Effex, &c .- In each of these Counties there are many Diffenters; in Yorkshire and Essex they are very numerous, and they figned, with zeal, a Petition which complained of the gross inequality of the Representation of the People in Parliament, and yet at the same time expressed their most ardent wish, that the excellent Constitution, under which they had the happiness to live, might be maintained on the principles on which it is founded. In Yorkshire alone, it is probable that this Petition was figned by not less than two thousand Dissenters, who were also Freeholders of that County.

Paper III. contains Refolutions by the Conftitutional Society at Nottingham, in the year 1780. In that Town, Diffenters are more numerous, in proportion to Churchmen, than in almost any other place in the kingdom; they were, I believe, a great majority of the Constitutional Society by which these Resolutions were adopted. Refolutions, the Society declare their adherence to the popular doctrine of equal Representation and annual Parliaments with great force and energy; but the most ingenious malice may be defied to point out any passage in this Paper. which marks the least disaffection to the Constitution: on the contrary, the Affociation here M 2 complain complain that the ancient usage of Parliament has been departed from; and declare, that to recover Parliament to its constitutional character is the object of their pursuit; but if the Reform, expressed in their Resolves, in all its extent should be found impracticable, they will thankfully receive so much of it as can be procured.

Paper IV. contains a Declaration of the Principles of the Conftitutional Society at Cambridge, which was formed in the year 1783; and of which the Members were chiefly Inhabitants of that Town, and Diffenters of various denominations. In that Declaration, expressions may be found which indisputably prove the attachment of that Society to the mixed Form of Government, established in this Country; the principles of which are there recognized as the fundamental principles of the Cambridge Association.

## Paper I.

Petition to Parliament from the County of Cambridge, in the year 1780.

The Petition of the Gentlemen, Clergy, and Freeholders of the County of Cambridge,

To the Honourable the Commons of Great-Britain in Parliament affembled,

Sheweth,

CHAT your Petitioners do thus publicly declare their entire and zealous approbation of the Legislature of this Country, as placed in the free and independent concurrence of King, Lords, and Commons, in preference to every other mode of Civil Government.

That they anxiously wish the bleffing of this Form of Legislation to be continued to their latest posterity in its Constitutional purity.

That they feriously apprehend this Form of Legislation will be essentially vitiated, if not virtually changed, whenever the treasure and offices of the Community shall be successfully employed to bring the Representatives of the People under the influence of the Executive Power.

That they conceive a firong tendency to this change, is at prefent, and has formerly been, too notorious to admit of doubt, or to require proof.

That

That they confider every fystem of Public Administration, carried on by means of Parliamentary corruption, however fanctified by time, precedent, or authority, to be absolutely unjustifiable on every principle of good sense and sound policy—to be as dishonourable to the upright intentions of the Crown, as it is burthensome to the property, and dangerous to the Liberties, of the People.

Your Petitioners do, therefore, most solemnly apply themselves to the Honour, the Justice, the Integrity, of this Honourable House, praying that effectual measures may be taken by this House, to inquire into, and correct, any gross abuses in the expenditure of Public money, to reduce all exorbitant cmoluments of office, to rescind and abolish all sinceure places and unmerited pensions, and to use all such other Constitutional means as may tend to establish the independence of Parliament on the most lasting foundations.

And your Petitioners are the more earness in this their prayer, because they are of opinion, that no other expedient can equally tend to heal our domestic divisions, to unite the whole Nation in the warmest support of his Majesty's Person and Government, against the unprovoked hostilities of the House of Bourbon, and to put a final period to that primary source of National distress—the American War.

#### Paper II.

Petition to Parliament from the County of Kent, in the year 1782.

The Humble Petition of the Noblemen, Gentlemen, Clergy, and Freeholders of the County of Kent,

Sheweth.

HAT your Petitioners, sensible of the excellency of that Constitution under which they have the happiness to live, most ardently wish to have it maintained upon the true prin-

ciples on which it is founded.

Your Petitioners further shew, That it is necessary to the welfare of the People, that the Commons' House of Parliament should have a common interest with the nation; and that in the present state of the Representation of the People in Parliament, the House of Commons do not sufficiently speak the voice of the People.

Your Petitioners therefore humbly pray this Honourable House to take into their most serious consideration, the present inadequate state of the Representation of the People in Parliament, and to apply such remedy to this great Constitutional Evil, as to this Honourable House may feem meet.

And your Petitioners shall ever pray, &c.

## Paper III.

Resolutions by the Constitutional Society at Nottingham, in the year 1780.

At a full Meeting, the following Refolutions were unanimously passed, viz.

THAT an equal Representation is the Right of the People, and effential to the very

idea of a Representative Body.

That annual Parliaments are the old conflitutional ufage of Parliament, the true law of the land, and the best security which can be surnished for the virtuous conduct of Parliament, and for its Independence on every power whatsoever, unless that of the People alone.

That the prefent inequalities in the Reprefentation of the People, and the continuance of Parliaments beyond a fingle Seffion, are altogether a departure from, and a corruption of, the usage

and law of Parliament.

That to recover Parliament to its constitutional character, by a more equal Representation, and the return of annual Parliaments, is no romantic object, but worthy of a free People to demand,

as it is within their power to obtain, and is alone adequate to their wifnes.

That the holding any Place, Penfion, or Con tract, at the disposal of the Crown, (unless, perhaps, in some few instances, which may be expedient for the Public business, and not dangerous to the Public safety) is absolutely incompatible with a feat in the House of Commons.

That it is unworthy of the People of England, in their prefent state of discontent and alarm, to desist from that vigorous but legal prosecution of the Reform contained in the preceding resolves; and that every thing which is essentially short of this, is but a mere temporary expedient, not affording even the promise of a permanent good to these Kingdoms.

That no Candidate to Represent the Town of Nottingham, or any County, Town, or Borough, whatever, in Parliament, who does not adopt the sentiments, and pledge himself by the honour of a Man and of a Briton, for the recovery of the Rights of the People, as expressed by the preceding Resolves, (or so much of them as can be obtained) deserves, or shall receive, our Vote or Interest in any application for a Seat in Parliament.

That the above are our firm Refolves; we adopt the Reform expressed therein in all its extent: but if, in all its extent, it should be impracticable, we will thankfully receive so much of it as can be procured, and join our Fellow-Citizens in the pursuit of any part of so desirable an object-

That the thanks of this Society be conveyed to Major Cartwright, for his judicious and manly conduct in every thing which affects the Liberties of the People; and that this Society will be happy to give him every expression of their esteem and gratitude which shall be in their power.

S. IIAYWOOD, Secretary.

## Paper IV.

\* Principles and Resolutions of the Constitutional Society at Cambridge, in the year 1783.

We declare,

1. HAT, in our opinion, every individual of Mankind is born with a natural right to Life, Liberty, and Property.

2. That the affociating of many individuals into one Collective Body, is for the fecurity, and not for the suppression of Natural Rights.

3. That the confent of the People is the true origin, and the happiness of all, the only worthy end of Civil Government.

4. That there is in all States impliedly, and in the British State expressly, a mutual contract between the governors and the governed.

5. That

<sup>\*</sup> Extracted from the Minute Book of the Society.

5. That, in the British State, the contracting Parties have agreed not to invade each other's Rights.

6. That one Right of the People of Great-Britain is, to make laws for their own Go-

vernment.

7. That our Ancestors, in very remote times, used to make their own laws, and elect their own officers; and that in later times every free Man voted for Representatives in Parliament, for it was only in the Reign of Henry the Sixth that voting was reftrained to Freeholders of forty shillings a year.

8. That the present state of Parliamentary Representation is extremely defective, and ought to

be Reformed.

o. That the British Constitution of King. Lords, and Commons, is the most perfect theory of Government in the world, and may be rendered as perfect in practice, if the House of Commons be fo Reformed as to be independent on, and uninfluenced by, the other two branches of the Legislature.

10. That the House of Commons hath been manifestly under an improper influence from the other two branches of Legislature, from which innumerable evils have arifen to the Country at large, as well as to the influencing parties themfelves; and that unless some wife and essectual measures be speedily taken to restore the Independence of that House, by reforming the Reprefentation, the Country must be overwhelmed in ruin. Unanimous

Unanimous in these opinions, we declare, that the end we propose to obtain is a PARLIA-MENTARY REFORM.

The whole House of Parliament consists of King, Lords, and Commons; but our attention is wholly consined to the last, they being the Representatives of us.

In order to obtain, as far as lies in us, this

defirable end,

We refolve,

That we will, by fuch peaceable and conflitutional Measures as shall appear to us best calculated to answer the end, endeavour to obtain a Reform in the Representation.

That at all future elections for this County, we will use our endeavours to promote the interest of only such Candidates as shall appear to us most likely to procure a more equal Representation in Parliament, and a shortening of its duration.

That we will put the Candidate whom we fupport to no expence, but will discharge all the expences that may attend our voting, &c. &c. &c.





